# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-33494 2006, 3008 April 8, 2013 Wayne (18)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 8, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant's \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Eligibility Specialist, and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Assistance Payments Worker Supervisor.

On May 14, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer for preparation of the decision and order.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claim for:	nant's application ⊠ close Claimant's case
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant $\boxtimes$ applied for benefits $\boxtimes$ received benefits for:		
	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>		
2.	On February 22, 2013 (MA) and April 1, 2013 (FAP), the Department denied Claimant's MA application closed Claimant's FAP case due to a determination that she failed to verify income and assets.		
3.	On February 22, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.		
4.	On March 4, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\boxtimes$ closure of the case.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
ca: De Re	ditionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this se. On January 23, 2013, Claimant by his Authorized Representative, filed an application for MA benefits. Dept. Exh. 2. On February 4, 2013, the epartment sent a Verification Checklist to Claimant but not to Claimant's Authorized epresentative. Dept. Exh. 1. When the Department did not receive a response, it nied MA benefits and closed Claimant's pre-existing FAP benefits.		
Co Ho	the hearing the Department testified that the computer printout, Bridges View History prespondence, shows that the Verification Checklist was sent to be every, the Department failed to print out the Verification Checklist letter that was reportedly sent to be every content.		

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. The client for its part must cooperate with all of the Department's requests for information necessary to process the benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013).

In this case, having considered all of the evidence as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department failed to protect Claimant's rights when the Department failed to send a Verification Checklist to Claimant's Authorized Representative. Dept. Exh. 2. The Department's failure to communicate with the Authorized Representative resulted in the inability of the Claimant to cooperate in providing information. Thus the failure to communicate resulted in a loss of rights to assistance.
Having considered all of the evidence as a whole, it is found and determined that the Department failed to send the Verification Checklist to Claimant's Authorized Representative, resulting in a failure to protect the client's right to benefits. The Department is reversed.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION

1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP benefits.

ORDER:

2. Provide retroactive and ongoing FAP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which she is entitled.

WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND

- 3. Reinstate Claimant's MA application.
- 4. Determine Claimant's husband's eligibility for MA benefits.
- 5. Provide MA benefits as appropriate to Claimant's husband.
- 6. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Date Mailed: June 5, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/cl

## 2013-33494/JL

