STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2013 3349
<u>2013, 3015</u>
December 19, 2012
Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included ES, Assistance Payments Supervisor, ES.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation \boxtimes close Claimant's case AMP \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for FAP:

	Family Independence Prog
imes	Food Assistance Program
\square	Medical Assistance (MA)?

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1		Cla	in	nai	nt
	•			ia	

applied for benefits for: \boxtimes received benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

- Medical Assistance (MA).
- 🛛 Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On 7/31/12, the Department □ denied Claimant's application
 □ closed Claimant's case (Adult Medical Program)
 □ reduced Claimant's benefits for Food Assistance due to excess income.
- On 6/28/12, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.
- 4. On 10/5/12, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case. ⊠ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing the Department demonstrated that the Claimant's AM P medical case closed due to his earnings exceeding the AMP income limit of \$316. The AMP budget was reviewed and the check stubs which formed the basis for the Claimant's income were revie wed with him. The Claimant conceded that the Department properly closed the case due to his income in June exceeding the income limit. Exhibit 1.

As regards the reduction of the Claim ant's food assistance (FAP) to \$74, the Department did not pr ovide any budget for review at t he hearing; thus, it could not be determined whether the FAP benefit amount was correct and whether the FAP benefits were properly reduced. It is clear that the Claimant's benefits would be affected by the income he received in June 2012, as prior to that ti me Claimant was rec eiving the maximum amount of FAP one person c an receive; however, there wa s no budget presented to demonstrate the reduction on 7/1/12 was correct. It is determined that the Department did not meet its burden of proof.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department income i

denied Claimant's application reduced Claimant's benefits FAP Closed Claimant's case AMP

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

 \boxtimes did act properly when it closed Claimant's AMP case.

 \boxtimes did not act properly when it reduced the Claimant's FAP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP AA SDA CDC decision is:

AFFIRMED with regard to the AMP case closure

REVERSED with regard to the FAP benefit reduction for the reasons stated on the record and as set forth in this Decision

2013-3349/LMF

🖂 THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate recalculation the Claimant's FAP benefits for the month of July 2012 and determine if the reduction on was correct and determine the correct benefit amount and provide the Claimant an explanation and notice.
- 2. The Department shall issue a FAP supplement to the Claimant for FAP benefits he was otherwise entitled to receive, if any, in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 21, 2012

Date Mailed: December 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of ision and Order . MAHS will not or the mailing date of this Dec der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome • A of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Re

- consideration/Rehearing Request
- P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

2013-3349/LMF

cc: