STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	20
Issue No.:	1(
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	Α
County:	K

2013-33209 1005

April 4, 2013 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based on the compet ent, material, and subs tantial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. On September 27, 2012, the Claimant applied for FIP benefits.
- 2. On September 27, 2012 a verification ch ecklist and PATH orie ntation appointment notice were sent to t he Claimant. The verifications were due October 8, 2012 and the appointment was scheduled for October 8, 2012 at 9:00 am.
- 3. On or around September 27, 2012, the Claimant received the v erification checklist and PATH orientation appointment notice. The Claim ant read and understood both of the notices.
- 4. As of October 8, 2012, the Claimant had not returned the requested verifications or attended the scheduled PATH orientation.
- 5. At no point in time prio r to 9:00 am on October 8, 20 12 did the Claimant c all her DHS Specialist and reschedule her October 8, 2012 appointment.

- 6. On October 22, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indic ated the Clai mant's FIP application was being denied for f ailing to return the requested verification s and for failin g to attend the scheduled PAT H orientation on October 8, 2012.
- 7. On December 20, 2012, the Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

DHS requires clients to participate in employ ment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employ ment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency-related assignment s and to ensure t hat barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

A Work Eligible Indiv idual (WEI), see BEM 228 ____, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

In this case, the Claimant missed the scheduled orientation. The Claimant did not have sufficient proof to show she had good cause for missing the orientation. While the Claimant indicated s he tried to reschedule, she tried to reschedule after she already missed the scheduled appointment, which is too late.

Additionally, clients must c ooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligib ility. This inc ludes completion of ne cessary forms. Clients must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the c lient at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information.

The Depar tment requested verifications to be returned so they could determine the Claimant's eligibility for the FIP program. There is no evidence the Claimant complied with this request. Although the Claimant testif ied, she submitted the verifications by

mail, there was no additional evidence to corroborate this claim. Therefore, I find more likely than not, the Claimant did not comply with the Department's verification request.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find the Departm ent properly denied th e Claimant's FIP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above F indings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

f act

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 8, 2013

Date Mailed: April 8, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

