STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg.No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 2013 33026 1038

April 3, 2013

Wayne County DHS (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ap ril 3, 2013. T he Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether the Department correctly sanc tioned and closed the Claimant's cash assistance (FIP) for non--compliance with work-related activities without good cause.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was assigned to attend Wo rk First and was attending and meeting her compliance requirements.
- 2. The Claimant was assigned to communie ty service which she attended. The Claimant was asked to clean some furniture e as part of her community service e assignment with cleaning solution and water and asked for gloves as she had eczema. She was advised that there we re no gloves and was told there was nothing further for her to do that day.
- 3. The Claimant reported w hat happened to her case m anager at Work First the same day and was given gloves.
- 4. The next time Claimant reported to community service program she was told there was nothing for her to do.

- 5. The Claimant again went back to Work First and was told to request a change in community service location.
- 6. The Claimant continued to perform job search as required and did not hear back regarding her request for assignment to another community service location.
- 7. The Department sent a notic e of non- compliance on February 15, 2013 scheduling a triage for February 21, 2013. The Claimant did not receive the Notice until after the triage had occurred.
- 8. The Department gran ted the Claimant a phone triage on March 5, 2013 and found no good cause.
- 9. The Department issued a Notic e of Case Action on February 15, 2013 closing the Claimant's FIP case effective Ma rch 1, 2013 and imposing a three m onth sanction.
- 10. The Claimant requested a hearing on February 28, 2013 protesting the closure of her FIP case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 60 1, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services ("D HS" or "Department"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and Michigan Administrative Code Ru les 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

DHS requires clients to participat e in employ ment and self-sufficiency related activities and to ac cept employment when offered. BEM 233A All Work E ligible Individuals ("WEI") as a condition of e ligibility must engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A The WEI is considered non-compliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with the Jobs, Education, and Training Program ("JET") or other employment service provider. BEM 233A Good cause is a valid reas on for non-compliance with employment and/or self -sufficiency related activit ies that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the non-compliant person. BEM 233A Failure to comply without good c ause results in FIP closure. BEM 233A T he first and second oc currences of non-compliance r esults in a 3 month FIP closur e. BEM 233A The third occurrence results in a 12 month sanction.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss non-compliance and good cause. BEM

233A In processing a FIP cl osure, the Department is r equired to send the client a notice of non--compliance, DHS-2444, which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance; the reason the client was determined to be non--compliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A In addit ion, a triage must be hel d within the negative actio n period. BEM 233A A good caus e determination is made during the triage and prior to the negative action effective date. BEM 233A. However, a failure to participate can be cause. Good cause is a valid reason for failing to overcome if the client has good participate with employm ent and/or self-suffi ciency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of t he Claimant. BEM 233A. The penalty for noncompliance is FIP closure. However, a fail ure to participate can be overcome if the client h as good ca use. Good c ause is a va lid reaso n for failin g to participate with employment and/or s elf-sufficiency-related activities that are bas ed on factors that are beyond the control of the Claimant. BEM 233A. The penalty for non-compliance is FIP closure. BEM 233a provides dir ection to the Department as follows when determining good cause:

Determine good caus e based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or the work participation program.

In this case, the Claimant attended the Work First program and was meeting her participation job search requirements. The Claimant was assigned to attend community service and was ask ed to clean furniture wit h cleaning solution and asked for gloves. The community service e organization did not have or pr ovide Claimant gloves and she was told there was no other work for her to perform. The Claimant reported the situation to her Work First cas e manager and was given glov es to use. Th e next time the Claimant reported to community service she was told to leav e and that there was nothing further for her to do. At this point Claimant requested that she be reassigned to a different community service program. The Claimant's testimon y was very clear and credible.

No one from the Work First program who attended the triage and who had first-hand knowledge of the facts attended the hearing and thus Claimant's credible testimony was unrebutted. Based upon the Claimant's testimony the Claimant appeared to have attempted to participate and although the case notes submitted with the hearing pack et indicate that she was removed from the community service assignment due to sho wing up with an attitude, no one who spoke direct ly to the community service witness was present to indicate what this statement meant. Additional ly the Claimant testified that she did request another assignment for community service as directed by her case manager even though the case notes, writte n by someone not in attendance, indicated otherwise. Exhibit 3.

After reviewing the documents submitted at the hearing and the testimony of the parties provided under oath, it is determined that the Department did not meet its burden of

proof to demonstrate that it correctly determined that the Claimant failed in her Wor k First participation requirements or failed to demonstrate good cause.

It is determined that the Depar tment incorrectly found no good cause and instituted closure of the Claimant's FIP case and imposition of a 3 month sanction.

Based of the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and the testimony of witnesses and the documentary evidence received, the Department has not demonstrated that it correctly followed and applied Department policy in closing and sanctioning the Claimant's FIP case for r non-compliance without good cause and imposing a 3 month sanction. BEM 233A.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds t hat the Department incorrectly closed the Claimant's cash ass istance FIP case, and improperly imposed a 6 month sancti on closing the Claimant's case for noncompliance with work-related activities for non-participation with the Work First program. Accordingly, the Department's determination is REVERSED.

Accordingly it is ordered:

- 1. The Department shall initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FIP case retroactive to the date of closure (March 1, 2013).
- 2. The Department shall supplement t he Claimant for any FIP benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall remove from its records the 3 month sanction it imposed on the Claimant as a result of a triage conducted on March 5, 2013.

menis

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 10, 2013

Date Mailed: April 10, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

