# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

,	Docket No. 2013-32539 HHS Case No.
Appellant /	
DECISION A	AND ORDER
This matter is before the undersigned Admir and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appe	<u> </u>
After due notice, a hearing was held on represented by his mother and process and process and process and process are also also and process are also and process are also and process are also and process are also also also also also also also also	. The Appellant was and guardian. She had no witnesses. ed the Department. His witness was
ISSUE	
Did the Department properly terminate the Appellant's Home Help Services (HHS)?	

### FINDINGS OF FACT

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Appellant is a year-old Medicaid-SSI beneficiary. (Appellant's Exhibit #1)
- 2. The Appellant is afflicted with ADD, MI, seizure disorder, HTN, heart rate problem and asthma. His guardian describes his intellect as that of a "5 to 10-year-old [or] mentally challenged from birth." (Department's Exhibit A, pp. 5 and 19 and See Testimony)
- 3. The Appellant's representative said that the Appellant needs prompting, direction and supervision to accomplish his ADLs. (See Testimony)
- 4. The Department's witness, ASW , testified that he observed the Appellant and his father and that they agreed that the Appellant did not need assistance with any ADL including bathing and grooming. The ASW noted that while the Appellant requires assistance with IADLs that this alone was insufficient to maintain eligibility under new rules imposed by the Department. (See Testimony and Department's Exhibit A, pp. 2 and 14)

### Docket No. 2013-32539 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

- 5. The Department witness testified that he made contact with the Appellant on for an in-home assessment. While there, the Appellant did not identify a need for hands on services for any ADL. He was assessed in the presence of his father. (Department's Exhibit A, pages 2, and 14)
- 6. The Department witness sent the Appellant an Advance Negative Action Notice on terminating services. (Department's Exhibit A, pages 2, and 4)
- 7. The Appellant's further appeal rights were contained in the Advance Negative Action Notice.
- 8. The request for hearing on the instant appeal was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health on

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a medical professional.

### COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on all open independent living services cases. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information must be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.

### Docket No. 2013-32539 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transferin cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.

. . . .

Adult Service Manual (ASM), §120, page 1 of 5, 5-1-2012.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

### Changes in the home help eligibility criteria:

### Home Help Eligibility Criteria

To qualify for home help services, an individual must require assistance with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) assessed at a level 3 or greater. The change in policy must be applied to any new cases opened on or after October 1, 2011, and to all ongoing cases as of October 1, 2011.

### **Comprehensive Assessment Required Before Closure**

Clients currently receiving home help services must be assessed at the next face-to-face contact in the client's home to determine continued eligibility. If the adult services specialist has a face-to-face contact in the client's home prior to the next scheduled review/redetermination, an assessment of need must take place at that time.

**Example:** A face-to-face review was completed in August 2011; the next scheduled review will be in February 2012. The specialist meets with the client in his/her home for a provider interview in December 2011. Previous assessments indicate the client only needing assistance with instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). A new comprehensive assessment must be completed on this client.

If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at level 3 or greater but these services are **not** paid for by the department,

## Docket No. 2013-32539 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

or the client refuses to receive assistance, the client would **continue** to be eligible to receive IADL services.

If the client is receiving only IADLs and does **not** require assistance with at least one ADL, the client no longer meets eligibility for home help services and the case must close after negative action notice is provided.

Each month, beginning with October, 2011, clients with reviews due who only receive IADL services must take priority.

### **Negative Action Notice**

The adult services specialist must provide a DHS-1212, Advance Negative Action notice, if the assessment determines the client is no longer eligible to receive home help services. The effective date of the negative action is ten business days after the date the notice is mailed to the client.

\*\*\*

### Right to Appeal

Clients have the right to request a hearing if they disagree with the assessment. If the client requests a hearing within ten business days, do not proceed with the negative action until after the result of the hearing.

Explain to the client that if the department is upheld, recoupment must take place back to the negative action date if payments continue. Provide the client with an option of continuing payment or suspending payment until after the hearing decision is rendered.

If the client requests a hearing after the 10-day notice and case closure has occurred, do not reopen the case pending the hearing decision. If the department's action is reversed, the case will need to be reopened and payment re-established back to the effective date of the negative action. If the department's action is upheld, no further action is required.

\*\*\*

Adult Service Bulletin (ASB) 2011-001; Interim Policy Bulletin Independent Living Services (ILS) Eligibility Criteria, pp. 1–3, October 1, 2011

\*\*\*

The Department witness testified that he terminated the Appellant's HHS services because he did not identify a need for hands on assistance. He explained policy

### Docket No. 2013-32539 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

developments and advised the Appellant that his Home Help Services would be terminated for lack of need with hands on assistance with his ADLs at a ranking of three (3) or greater.

At hearing the Department's witness explained that he asked the Appellant and his father during the comprehensive assessment about his ADL needs. Both the Appellant and his father told the ASW that he was not in need of hands on assistance with any activity of daily living – including bathing and grooming.

The Appellant's representative/guardian [at hearing] stressed her concern for the Appellant's tendency to seize during bathing as a rationale for assistance with bathing.

The ASW did rank the Appellant at level two (2) for both bathing and grooming. Since the assessment the Appellant has been hospitalized for seizures on two occasions [in and may have also have developed a bed wetting problem.

The ASW added that the Appellant needs hands on assistance help with his IADLs.

Concerning the Appellant's mental capacity - if the guardian has information relative to a recent change in condition, it is her responsibility to so advise the ASW and seek reassessment as necessary. In the testimony it is suggested by the guardian that the Appellant's ability has eroded since the assessment by way of new seizure activity - although the Appellant had not reported a change in condition until today's hearing.

It is the province of the ASW to determine eligibility for services; the ASM requires an inhome, comprehensive assessment of HHS recipients. Based on new policy an HHS recipient must utilize at least one (1) ADL requiring hands on service at the three (3) ranking or higher in order to remain eligible for HHS.

The uncontested testimony regarding the mental limitations of the Appellant is noteworthy because the Appellant has now exhausted his available services under the HHS program. Thus, it is incumbent on the ASW to advocate for the Appellant in receiving CMH sponsored supports to address the supervision and direction needs [level two (2) rankings] articulated by him and the guardian at hearing.<sup>1</sup> [ASM 125, Coordination With Other Services, pp. 1, 2 of 10, November 1, 2011

However, the ALJ has no authority to impose a mental health [CMH] remedy or to alter HHS policy. He further observes that the DHS *Interim Policy* adopted in October of 2011 makes no exception for the provision of HHS - absent satisfaction of the ADL requirement.

The guardian testified that CMH was in the home [in the past] and that she believed they would return –

presumably if asked.

### Docket No. 2013-32539 HHS Hearing Decision & Order

The Appellant failed to preponderate his burden of proof that the Department erred in terminating his HHS, because at the time of assessment he demonstrated no inability to perform his ADLs.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's HHS.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

\s\

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Signed: <u>5/30/3013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>5/30/2013</u>

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.