STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg.No. Issue No. Case No. Hearing Date: 2013 32456 1038

April 3, 2013 Wayne County DHS **(31)**

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ap ril 3, 2013 from Detroit, Michigan. T he Claimant appeared and testified. ES, Lead Worker , appeared on be half of the Department.

ISSUE

Whether the Department pr operly denied the CI aimant's cash assistance (FIP application for failure to attend Work First Orientation.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for FIP cash assistance and was assigned to attend the Work First orientation on December 10, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.
- 2. The Claimant did not a ttend the orientation and did provide the Department a letter from her 5-year-old s on's Pediatrician that her ch ild was severely autistic and that the Claimant was required to care for her son. The Claimant's son receives SSI. Claimant Exhibit A.
- 3. The Claimant mailed the Doctor's letter to her case worker prior to her orientation appointment.
- 4. The Department issued a Notice of Case Action on December 20, 2012 denying the Claimant's FIP application effective December 31, 2012.

- 5. The Department did not send the Claimant a medical needs form and did not process a deferral from the Work First program.
- 6. The Claimant requested a hearing on January 7, 2013 protesting the closure of her FIP Case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 60 1, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services ("D HS" or "Department"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

DHS requires clients to participat e in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employ ment when offered. BEM 233A All Work E ligible Individuals ("WEI") are required to participate in the development of a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan ("FSSP") unless good cause exists. BEM 228 As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs must engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A The WEI is considered non-compliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with the Jobs, Education, and Tr aining Program ("JET") or other employment service provider. BEM 233A Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related ac tivities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A

In this case the Claimant did not attend t he Work First orientation but prior to the orientation provided the Department with a letter from her son's pediatrician advising the Department that her son was severely autistic and that he required to be c ared for by the Claimant in her home. T he Claimant provided the letter to the Department by mail prior to the orientation. Claimant Exhibit A.

The letter was never processed and the Cla imant's FIP application was denied when she failed to attend Work First orientation.

BEM 230 A provides:

A spouse or parent who provides care for a spouse or child with d isabilities liv ing in the home is not a WEI and is not referred to PATH if:

The spouse/child with disabilities lives with the spouse/parent providing care.

A doctor verifies all of the follo wing in writing or by using a DHS-54A, Medical Needs, form or DHS-54E, Medical Needs-PATH:

The spous e/child with disabilities requires a caretaker due to the extent of the disability.

The spouse/parent is needed in the home to provide care.

The spous e/parent cannot eng age in an employment-related activity due to the extent of care required.

BEM 230A, pp 15, (1-1-2013)

Based upon the letter presented by the Cla imant to the Department, it is determined that pursuant to BEM 230 A t he Department was required to determine on the basis of the letter whether the Claimant was a work eligible individual.

Under these facts it is determined that the Department should hav e deferred the Claimant under the short term deferral provisions and sought the additional information, if any, it needed regarding the Claimant's care of her son and her son's condition.

Under these circumstances the Department should not have denied the Claimant's application as she was entitled to have the medical information proc essed and a determination made about whether she was non-work eligible due to having to care for her son.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds t hat the Departm ent improperly denied the Claim ant's FIP application for failure to attend the Work First Orientation.

Therefore the Department's det ermination denying the Claimant 's application for FIP is REVERSED.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

 The Depar tment shall initiate re-regist ration of the C laimant's F IP application and process the application to determine if the Claimant is otherwise eligible to receive FIP benefits and whether she is a non-work eligible individual due t o having to care for her son.

- 2. The Department may seek additional verification from the Claimant regarding the care of her child and her child's condition.
- 3. The Department shall issue a s upplement to the Claimant for any FIP benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 11, 2013

Date Mailed: April 11, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative Hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF /cl

