STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-32433

Issue No.: 1080

Case No.:

Hearing Date: April 4, 2013 County: Wayne 15

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (Department) on February 22, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, April 4, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified. Participating on behalf of the Department of Human Services was

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant has exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and was not eligible for an exception.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FIP benefits on February 13, 2013.
- On February 13, 2013, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action to Claimant notifying her that benefits were denied because she had exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on receipt of FIP benefits.
- 3. On February 22, 2013, the Department received Claimant's Request for Hearing, disputing the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (January 1, 2013), p 1. Under the federal FIP time limit, individuals are not eligible for continued FIP benefits once they receive a cumulative total of 60 months of FIP benefits, unless the individual was approved for FIP benefits as of January 9, 2013 **and** was exempt from participation in the Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope (PATH) program for domestic violence, establishing incapacity, incapacitated more than 90 days, aged 65 or older, or caring for a spouse or child with disabilities. BEM 234 (January 1, 2013), p 1; MCL 400.57a (4); Bridges Federal Time Limit Interim Bulletin (BPB) 2013-006 (March 1, 2013), p 1. The federal limit count begins October 1996. BEM 234, p 1.

In this case, Claimant requested a hearing regarding the denial of FIP benefits based on exceeding the federal 60-month time limit. During the hearing, the Claimant disputed she received 60 months of FIP benefits, stating she worked a majority of her life and, thus, did not request and/or receive benefits. The Department presented a Federal TANF Time Limit printout ("printout") which shows 67 months of FIP benefits were received by Claimant. During the hearing, the Department ran another inquiry which contradicted the printout for several months. (See Exhibit 2) In light of the conflicting documentation, it is unclear whether the Claimant actually exceeded the 60 month FIP time limit. Accordingly, it is found that the Department failed to establish it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law and for the reasons stated on the record, finds the Department failed to establish it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP application for having exceeded the federal time limits.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Department's denial of the February 6, 2013 FIP application is REVERSED.

- 2. The Department shall re-register and process the February 6, 2013 application and notify the Claimant of the determination in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall supplement for lost benefits that the Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified, in accordance with Department policy.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 29, 2013 Date Mailed: April 29, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/tm

