#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



201332033
3008
April 3, 2013
Oakland (03)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

#### **ISSUE**

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly 🖾 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Adult Medical Program (AMP)?
- State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant ⊠ applied for □ was receiving: □FIP ⊠FAP □MA □AMP □SDA □CDC.
- 2. Claimant 🖾 was 🗌 was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).
- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by February 19, 2013.

- 4. On February 20, 2013, the Department
  - $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application
  - closed Claimant's case

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

- 5. On February 20, 2013, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.

  ☐ closure of Claimant's case.

  ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 6. On February 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. closure. reduction.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

Assets must be considered in determining eligibility for FAP. BEM 400 (January 1, 2013), p 3; BEM 213. Asset eligibility for FAP exists when the group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the FAP asset limit of \$5000 at least one day during the month being tested. BEM 400, pp 3-4. Cash, including bank accounts and savings, are assets. BEM 400, pp 11-12.

In this case, Claimant's FAP case closed in connection with her redetermination, and Claimant reapplied for FAP various times after the case closure. At issue at the hearing was the Department's denial of her January 31, 2013 FAP application. At the hearing, the Department established that it had requested verification of Claimant's checking and savings account. In particular, the Department had notified Claimant in connection with a prior application, that it needed verification of a Bank of America account ending in 9340. In connection with processing the January 31, 2013, FAP application, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist on February 7, 2013, requesting verification of checking and savings account by February 19, 2013. The Department established that it never received verification of the Bank of America account, with account number ending 9340. Thus, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's January 31, 2013, FAP application.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>4/9/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>4/9/2013</u>

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# 201332033/ACE

# ACE/hw

