## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-32027 2014 March 27, 2013 Kent County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt		
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 27, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included		
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department properly determine the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits?		
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	ceived benefits fo	r:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On February 21, 2013, the D epartment sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated that effective April 1, 2013; the Department was coloring the		

3. On February 28, 2013, the Claimant reques ted a hearing to dis pute the M A transition and MA deductable amounts.

due to excess income.

Claimant's QMB MA case and opening a MA Ad c are case with a deductable of

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

In this case, the Department was unable to explain or show how the Claimant's budget was determined and what calculations we re made in determining the Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits. Because I was unable to r eview the ca Iculations used in determining the Claimant's eligibility, I am reversing the Department in this matter.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I have concluded the Department improperly determined the Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to t he Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits beginning April 1, 2013 and iss ue retroactive benefits if other wise eligible and qualified.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 28, 2013

Date Mailed: March 28, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAA/las

CC:

