STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 31991

Issue No.: 1021

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 27, 2013

County: Oakland County DHS (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 27, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant incl uded the Claimant. Participant s on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Success Coach.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant 's case for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

INDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- On October 17, 2012, the Medical Rev iew Team found the Claimant was not disabled and work ready with limitations and thus was required to attend the Work First program. Exhibit 2
- Department issued a Notice of Case Ac tion on F ebruary 10, 2013 which notified Claimant that Claima nt's FIP case would close effective March 1, 2013 because Claimant exceeded the 60 m onth lifetime Feder al lim it on the receipt of FIP assistance. Exhibit 4

- 4. The Claimant conceded at the hearing that she did not dispute that she had received 60 months of FIP cash assistance.
- 5. On February 18, 2013 Claim ant filed a Request for Hearing, disputing the Department's action which clos ed her FIP ca se due to expiration of the 60 month limit.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

FIP is not an entitlement. BEM 234. Time limits are ess ential to establish ing the well as communicating the FIP philosophy to support a temporary nature of aid as family's movement to self-sufficiency. BEM 234. BEM 234 restricts the total cumulative months that an individual may receive FIP benefits to a lifeti me limit of 48 months for state-funded FIP cases and 60 months for federally-funded FIP cases. On January 9, 2013 a Department Bulletin was issued reinstating the 60 month time limit policy. To be eligible, the FIP group cannot include an adult who has accumulated more that 60 TANF funded months beginning October 1, 1996. The Claimant did not meet any of the exemptions for Work First par ticipation; that she was ex empt from the path program (Work First) due to incapacity or that she was incapacitated more than 90 days as the Claimant was no longer deferred from attending Work First as she was found wor k ready in October 2012 by t he MRT and assigned to attend Work First. During the hearing the Claimant indicated that she did attend a Work First orientation on February 11, 2013 but was turned away due to us e of a cane. Exhibit 3. These facts are irrelevant to the outcome as the Claimant's FIP case was terminated due to the fact that she was no longer deferred from attending Work Fir st as of the MRT decision, not because she failed to attend the Work First pr ogram. Exhibit 2. BPB 2013-06 (March 1, 2013)

Additionally, the proofs sumbitted by the Department demonstrated that the Claimant received 60 months of F ederal FIP benefits and the Cla imant agreed that she had received 60 months of benefits. Therefore the Department has met its burden of proof and has demonstrated that it properly closed the Claimant's FIP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions |
|---|
| of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department |
| □ did not act properly □ did not act properly |
| when it closed Claimant's FIP case. |

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

□ AFFIRMED
 □ REVERSED

for the reasons stated above and on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 3, 2013
Date Mailed: April 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

