### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-31768

 Issue No.:
 1000

 Case No.:
 May 29, 2013

 Hearing Date:
 May 29, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (35)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 29, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly:

 $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application for benefits

closed Claimant's case for benefits

reduced Claimant's benefits

for:

Examily Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

] Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?
State Emergency Services (SER)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 11, 2013, the Department:

denied Claimant's application for benefits
 closed Claimant's case for benefits
 reduced Claimant's benefits

under the following program(s):

☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SE	🛛 FIP	🗌 FAP	MA 🗌	AMP	SDA 🗌		SE SE
---	-------	-------	------	-----	-------	--	-------

2. On February 11, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:

$\boxtimes$	denial
	closure
	reduction.

3. On February 19, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

☑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reregister and process Claimant's December 28, 2012 application, including review of medical records.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reregister and process Claimant's December 28, 2012 application, including a review of Claimant's medical records.
- 2. Determine eligibility and provide benefits at the benefit level to which Claimant is entitled.
- 3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

<u>J</u>ø~

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 11, 2013

Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

