STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-3174

Issue No.: 6021

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 21, 2013 County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 21, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant were the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) were Lee Cole, Eligibility Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claims for:	ant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 	

	On October 7, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's case ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ due to a determination that she failed to submit a Redetermination application.
	On September 17, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4. (On September 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the ges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
and 1990 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 0, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
she	itionally, at the hearing the Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testimony that never received the Redetermination request for information. Dept. Exh. 1, pp. 5-8. mant's testimony is accepted.
the Man right (201 Acce	pears that the letter was not received because of an error by one or more parties: Department, the U.S. Postal Service, and the Claimant. Bridges Administrrative rual 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to protect client its. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (BAM) 1
	ed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ed on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
:	properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case
for:	☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☒ CDC.

3.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

	aw, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
	ordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
	THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS THIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1.	Reinstate Claimant's CDC benefits effective October 7, 2012.
2.	Provide retroactive and ongoing CDC benefits to Claimant at the benefit level(s) to which she is entitled.

All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 25, 2013

Date Mailed: March 25, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

