

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 20133167
Issue No.: 1021
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: March 21, 2013
County: Wayne (#41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday March 21, 2013. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] (JET Case Manager).

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly denied Claimant's for cash assistance (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant applied for FIP benefits in August 2012.
2. The Department closed Claimant's FIP case for failure to provide verification, and subsequently denied her re-application for FIP benefits based on an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) on September 19, 2012.
3. On September 27, 2012, Claimant filed a written request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Department of Human Service policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits, and provide a supplement for lost FIP benefits (if any) as of October 1, 2012.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstatement of Claimant's FIP benefits.
2. Authorization of a supplement for lost FIP benefits (if any) that Claimant was otherwise eligible to receive as of October 1, 2012 in accordance with Department policy.

M. Howie

MICHELLE HOWIE
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

20133167/MH

Date Signed: 3/28/2013

Date Mailed: 3/28/2013

NOTICE: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MH/hw

cc:

