STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
Issue No.:
Case No.:
Hearing Date:
County:

2013-31648 2006, 3008

March 27, 2013 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ma rch 27, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant in cluded Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and

ISSUE

rification requirements, did the Department Due to a failure to comply with the ve properly 🕅 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

	Family Independence Prog
\times	Food Assistance Program
\times	Medical Assistance (MA)?

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 22, 2013, the Cla imant applied for benefits. On the applicat ion, the Claimant indicated he had both a checking and savings account.
- 2. On January 24, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a verification checklist. The checklist requested the Claimant submit proofs of his chec king and savings accounts to the Department by February 4, 2013.
- 3. As of February 4, 2013, the Claimant submitted to the Department a copy of only his checking account.
- 4. As of February 4, 2013, the Claimant had not not ified the Department as to the fact he only had a checking account.

2013-31648/CAA

- 5. On February 7, 2013, the Department sent the Claim ant a notice of case action . The notice indicated t he Claimant's FAP and MA cases were closing for failure to provide verification of his savings account.
- 6. On February 19, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP and MA closures.
- 7. On approximately February 27, 2013, the Claim ant told the Depar tment he did not have a savings account.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of t he Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients have the right to contest a Departm ent decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

Department policy indicates the at clients must cooperate with the loca I office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. (BAM 105). This inc ludes completion of the necessary forms. Clie nts who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. (BAM 105).

In this case the issue is the Claimant's fa the Department or notify the Department account. It is undisputed that the Cla imant received adequate notice regarding the verification checklist. And it is undisput verifications being requested. Therefore, I find the Department acted in accordanc e with the applicable laws and policies in denying the Claimant's F AP and MA application as at no time the Claimant notified the D epartment prior to the due date regarding his savings account as required and requested (verification checklist).

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

CiCit

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 28, 2013

Date Mailed: March 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2013-31648/CAA

CAA/las

