STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2013-31610
2018
June 6, 2013
Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 6, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \Box deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant \square applied for benefits \bowtie received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On March 1, 2013, the Department
 denied Claimant's application
 due to a determination that Claimant failed to verify necessary information.
- On February 4, 2013, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 □ notice of the □ denial. □ closure.
- 4. On February 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. The Claimant received Medicaid benefits through the Freedom to Work (FTW) program. At the hearing the Department could not explain why Claimant's benefits were terminated.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. The client for her part must cooperate with all of the Department's request for information necessary to perform the Department's responsibilities. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013).

In this case the Department could not explain why Claimant's benefits were terminated. The Department could only rely on the reason printed on the Notice of Case Action, which is the Department's announcement to the Claimant that her benefits are terminated.

The Notice of Case Action states that Claimant failed to verify information. However, at the hearing the Department could not specify what information was requested and what Claimant's response was to the Department's request. The Claimant testified that she never failed to provide information to the Department.

Therefore it is highly possible that Claimant's rights were terminated without a reason or for an incorrect reason. The Department's action in terminating Claimant's MA benefits

without a reason constitutes a failure to protect the client's rights to benefits. BAM 105. The Department in this case must be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

 properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case 	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ⊠ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for: 🗌 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🖾 MA 🗌 SD			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP AP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED	TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION TE OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's MA benefits.
- 2. Determine her continuing eligibility.
- 3. Issue a Notice of Case Action, advising Claimant of the MA program in which she is enrolled and the nature of the benefits that she will receive, or if appropriate, advising Claimant of the program for which she is not eligible and the accurate reasons for the denial.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Sole ...

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 12, 2013

Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm