# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-31249 3000 March 28, 2013 Oakland (02)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Bayo	doun	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claim—ant's requestelephone hearing was held on March 28, 2013 behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participhuman Services (Department) included and Eligibility Specialist.	st for a hearing. Afte s, from Detroit, Michi- pants on behalf of th Assistanc	r due notice, a gan.  Participants on
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits		
for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ Child Developme	ent and Care (CDC)?  Services (SER)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On February 1, 2013, the Department
	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On February 8, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the
	<ul><li>☐ denial</li><li>☒ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>
3.	On February 15, 2013, Claimant fil ed a request for hearing c oncerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Admii	rtment polic ies are foun d in the Department of Human Servic es Bridges nistrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibil ity Manual (BEM), Reference Tables al (RFT), and State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Responsible Agent 400.3	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) am effective October 1, 1996.
progra imple Regu Agen	ne Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Recolor through R 400.3015.
Secui The E	ne Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 05.

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is administered by the Department pursuant	
for disabled persons, is established by 2 Services (formerly known as the Family 1	program, which provides financial assistance 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ndependence Agency) administers the SDA, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through
and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and The program is implemented by Title 45 c	C) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. If the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 001 through R 400.5015.
<u> </u>	ogram is establ ished by 2 004 PA 344. The MCL 400.10, et seq., and by M ich Admin

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. The Depar tment acknowledged that it acted in error w hen it imposed a lifetime disqualification for FA P benefits on Claimant due to an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) for trafficking and that Claimant wa s improperly excluded from her FAP group ation from December 1, 2011 ongoing. Soon after based on the IPV disqualific commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Cons equently, the Departm ent agreed to do the following: (i) reinstate Claimant's FAP ca se as of February 1, 2013; (ii) begin recalculating Claimant's FAP budget from December 1, 2011 ongoing in accordance with Depar tment policy, removing any disqua lification which excluded Claimant as a FAP group member based on an IPV for FAP trafficking; (iii) begin issuing supplements receive but did not from to Claimant for any FAP benef its that she was entitled to December 1, 2011, ongoing, if otherwise eligib le and qualified; and (iv) notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case from February 1, 2013;
- 2. Begin recalculating Claim ant's FAP budget from Dece mber 1, 2011 ongoing in accordance with Department policy, re moving any disqualification which excluded Claimant as a FAP group member based on an IPV for FAP trafficking;
- Begin issuing supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from December 1, 2011, ongoing, if othe rwise eligible and qualified; and
- 4. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department policy.

Zalnab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 3, 2013

Date Mailed: April 3, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### ZB/cl

cc: