STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201330635

 Issue No.:
 1038

 Case No.:
 Hearing Date:

 County:
 Wayne (#35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday March 27, 2013. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and (Aunt) as a witness. Participant on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (Family Independence Specialist).

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's cash assistance program (FIP) due to non-compliance with employment related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing FIP recipient.
- 2. The Department terminated Claimant's FIP benefits effective February 1, 2013; and imposed a second sanction for non-compliance with employment related activities.
- 3. On February 12, 2013 Claimant filed a written request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits to the effective date of closure and process the case to determine eligibility for a medical deferment.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department will reinstate Claimant's FIP benefits retroactive to the effective date of closure.
- 2. The Department will issue a supplement for lost FIP benefits (if any) that the Claimant was otherwise eligible to receive, in accordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department will remove the second sanction for non-compliance from the Claimant's FIP case.

4. The Department will process the FIP case to determine eligibility for a medical deferment from employment related activities.

M. House

MICHELLE HOWIE Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/29/2013

Date Mailed: 3/29/2013

NOTICE: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MH/hw

