STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMENT OF HOMA	IN OLIVIOLO			
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-30304 3019 1 March 21, 2013 Wayne (49)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 21, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included APW.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ calculate Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for: 				

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

	On February 20, 2013, the Department		
3.	On February 6, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. calculation.		
4.	Claimant was convicted of Controlled Substance Offences two or more times after August 22, 1996. (See Exhibit 1, p.2)		
5.	On February 14, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ calculation.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
BE	M 203, p. 2, states with regard to FAP:		
	An individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession.		

An individual convicted of a felony for the use, possession, or distribution of controlled substances two or more times in separate periods will be permanently disqualified if both

offenses occurred after August 22, 1996.

In the present case, Claimant did not deny that he was convicted of two or more controlled substance charges after August 22, 1996. Exhibit 1, p. 2 shows the dates of conviction. It is noted that he recalls the first conviction to be a use charge, but a use of controlled substances conviction is included in disqualifying criteria, per BEM 203.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ properly calculated Claimant's benefits □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly calculated Claimant's benefits 		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
Jusa C. Bruke		
Susan C. Burke		
Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director		
Department of Human Services		

Date Signed: March 21, 2013

Date Mailed: March 21, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

2013-30304/SCB

- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

