STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2013-30176 2026 May 29, 2013 Oakland (03) | | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer | | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 29, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Worker Supervisor. | | | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | | |
| Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \mathbf{X} close Claimant's case for: | | | | |
| Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? | | |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: | | | | |
| 1. Claimant applied for benefits X received benefits for: | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ X Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ | | sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). | | |

| 2. | On March 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application due to Claimant's failure to meet the required deductible payment for more than three months. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. | On February 1, 2013, the Department sent X Claimant | | | |
| 4. | On February 11, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. X closure of the case. | | | |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | | | |
| Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). | | | | |
| X The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105. | | | | |
| Additionally, the Department's Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 210, "Redetermination/Ex Parte Review," requires the Department to review eligibility for every customer on a periodic basis. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 210 (2012). | | | | |
| The Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 545, "MA Group 2 Income Eligibility," requires the Department to redetermine eligibility on an annual basis in cases where the customer has a required deductible. If the deductible has not been met for over three months, BEM 545 requires the Department to terminate MA benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 545 (2011), p. 9. | | | | |
| an | this case, having reviewed all of the evidence and testimony submitted, it is found determined that the Department acted correctly in terminating Claimant's MA nefits for failure to meet the deductible. <i>Id.</i> The Department's action is affirmed. | | | |
| | sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department | | | |
| | properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case | | | |
| for | : AMP FIP FAP X MA SDA CDC. | | | |

DECISION AND ORDER

| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds X did act properly. | • |
|---|---|
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAF is X AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated | |
| | Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services |

Date Signed: June 11, 2013
Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

