

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2013-30114
Issue Nos.: 2019, 3002
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: March 14, 2013
County: Oakland (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 14, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his wife, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Assistance Payments Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly allocate Claimant's benefits for:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC)? |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP). | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP). | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA). |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA). | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC). |

2. On or about November 1, 2012, the Department provided \$26 FAP benefits to Claimant and imposed a \$771 Patient Pay Amount (PPA, deductible or co-pay) for Claimant's MA benefits.
3. On unknown dates, the Department sent
 Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
notice of the FAP allotment and the MA PPA requirement.
4. On February 12, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the FAP benefit level and the PPA requirement.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, Claimant testified he reported a change of address to the Department on or about Wednesday, October 10, 2012. He testified that on October 5, 2012, he was evicted, and that he came to the Department and spoke to his Specialist by telephone intercom.

However, the Department failed to record the change. As a result, Claimant's FAP benefit level was not promptly adjusted to take his new shelter and utility expenses into consideration. The Department's Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual 105 (2013).

It is found and determined that the Department failed to provide correct benefits and protect client rights in this case. The Claimant's change of address was not processed in a timely fashion, resulting in inaccurate FAP benefits. Because of the error, the Department shall be reversed.

The second issue in this case is the Department's requirement of a MA \$771 co-pay. The calculations made by the Department were reviewed at the hearing, and after they were explained to Claimant, he agreed that the Department acted correctly. Department of Human Services Reference Tables (RFT) 200 (2007) and 240 (2007). Having reviewed all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found and determined that the Department acted properly in requiring Claimant to pay a PPA of \$771. The Department's action is affirmed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

- properly required a Patient Pay Amount for MA benefits and
- improperly calculated Claimant's FAP benefits.
- properly closed Claimant's case
- improperly closed Claimant's case

for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did act properly. did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:

1. Review and recalculate Claimant's FAP allotment.
2. Provide retroactive and ongoing FAP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.



Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 18, 2013

Date Mailed: March 18, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

cc: [REDACTED]
Oakland County (03) DHS/1843
FAP
[REDACTED]
EQAD
SHRT
[REDACTED]
J. Leventer