STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEL ARTIMENT OF HOMAN CERVICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-30049 2019 June 5, 2013 Wayne (31)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 5, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants or behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Specialist.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes approve, with a Patient Pay Amount (PPA or deductible) Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	ceived benefits fo	r:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐	Adult Medical As	ssistance (AMP).		

State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On February 1, 2013, the Department		
3.	On February 1, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. approval with a PPA.		
4.	On February 13, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. Closure of the case. Sapproval requiring a Patient Pay Amount.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.		
rec	ditionally, Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 545, "MA Group 2 Income Eligibility," quires the Department to impose a deductible upon MA benefit recipients. partment of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 545 (2011).		
At the hearing the Department presented its budget calculations, showing the procedure it used for calculating Claimant's PPA. Dept. Exh. 1, p. 3. After a line-by-line review of the budget, the Claimant did not dispute the Department's calculations.			
tha De	living reviewed all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found and determined at the PPA requirement is properly imposed and properly calculated in this case. The partment followed the requirements of BEM 545 in determining Claimant's eligibility d benefit level, and shall be affirmed.		
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ited on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess come, the Department \square properly \square improperly		
	 □ approved Claimant's application with a PPA. □ reduced Claimant's benefits □ closed Claimant's case 		
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.		

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds t ☑ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.	•			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
	Jan Goenth			
	Jan Leventer			
	Administrative Law Judge			
	for Maura Corrigan, Director			
	Department of Human Services			
Date Signed: June 11, 2013				

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
JL/tm



Date Mailed: June 12, 2013