## STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | 201329988 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 1038 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: | March 25,2013 |
| County: | Wayne (\#76) |

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Monday March 25, 2013 from Detroit, Michigan. The Claimant appeared. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (Family Independence Specialist).

## ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's FIP benefits effective February 1, 2013 for non-compliance with employment related activities?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was an ongoing FIP recipient.
2. On February 1, 2013 the Department closed Claimant's FIP case for benefits
3. On February 14, 2013, the Department received Claimant's written hearing request.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
$\boxtimes$ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate and review Claimant's entire case including FIP, FAP and MA benefits to effective date of closure and to issue a supplement for lost benefits (if any) that she was otherwise eligible to receive.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate the Claimant's FIP and other affected benefits back to effective date of closure.
2. Issue supplement for lost benefits (if any) that Claimant was otherwise eligible to receive in accordance with Department policy.
3. Remove the three month non-compliance sanction improperly imposed.

# M. Hawre <br> MICHELLE HOWIE <br> Administrative Law Judge <br> for Maura Corrigan, Director <br> Department of Human Services 

Date Signed: 3/29/2013
Date Mailed: 3/29/2013
NOTICE: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.
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