STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201329981

 Issue No.:
 3009

 Case No.:
 Issue No.:

 Hearing Date:
 March 20, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 20, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based on a criminal justice disqualification?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. On January 14, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action advising him that his FAP case would close effective February 1, 2013, due to a criminal justice disqualification.
- 3. On February 14, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

Additionally, people convicted of certain crimes, fugitive felons, and probation or parole violators are not eligible for assistance. BEM 203 (October 1, 2012), p 1. The Department matches benefit recipient data with the Michigan State Police (MSP), which identifies on a monthly basis clients who are currently fugitive felons and on a daily basis clients who are no longer fugitive felons. BAM 811 (February 1, 2013), p 1; see also MCL 400.10c. When a match appears on the Department's system, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Case Action informing the client that they have a criminal justice disqualification showing and to go to a local law enforcement agency to resolve the issue. BAM 811, p 1.

In this case, the Department testified that a data match with the MSP identified Claimant as being subject to a criminal justice disqualification. As a result, the Department sent Claimant the January 14, 2013 Notice of Case Action notifying him that his FAP case would close, effective February 1, 2013, because he was subject to a criminal justice disqualification and advising him to contact his local law enforcement agency to resolve this issue.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that he was advised by the MSP that he had an outstanding warrant issued by the Detroit Police Department (DPD) for a 1992 matter. Claimant testified that he was aware of the 1992 matter, but that it had been dismissed by the court. However, he acknowledged that he did not go to the DPD to obtain a clearance showing that the issue was resolved even though he was advised by the DPD that he would need to come to the precinct to obtain a clearance. In the absence of any evidence by Claimant establishing that he was not subject to a criminal justice disqualification on the basis of being a felony fugitive, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly when it closed Claimant's FAP case based on the criminal justice disqualification.

did not act properly when

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record and above.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/27/2013

Date Mailed: 3/27/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

