

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P. O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax (517) 373-4147

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████,  
Appellant

Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH

██████████ ██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████ ██████████. Appellant's brother appeared and testified on behalf of the Appellant. ██████████ ██████████, Director of ██████████ of ██████████ testified on behalf of the Appellant. Appellant was present but did not testify.

██████████, Hearings Coordinator, ██████████, represented the CMH. ██████████ (formerly ██████████), LMSW, ACSW, ██████████ Utilization Manager appeared as a witness for the CMH.

**ISSUE**

Did the CMH act properly when it determined to deny Appellant's request for community living supports?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Appellant was a ██████-year-old female Medicaid beneficiary (DOB: ██████████) at the time of the hearing. (Exhibit A, p. 15 and Testimony).
2. ██████████ the Community Mental Health Agency serving ██████████ and ██████████ Counties (CMH) is responsible for providing Medicaid-covered mental health and developmental disability services to eligible recipients in its service area.
3. Appellant has diagnoses of mild mental retardation, impulse control disorder, NOS, Major Depressive Disorder, recurrent, unspecified, seizure

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

disorder, GERD, visual impairment, and she is a high fall risk requiring her to wear a helmet at all times. (Exhibit A, p. 17).

4. On [REDACTED], CMH received a request on behalf of Appellant for [REDACTED] hour per day of In-Home Community Living Supports (CLS), consisting of one- [REDACTED] minute unit each of meal preparation, laundry bathing, and assistance taking medications. (Exhibit A, pp. 6-8).
5. On [REDACTED], an Adequate Action Notice was sent to the Appellant denying the request for CLS, as the documentation submitted did not establish medical necessity for the requested services. Appellant's rights to a Medicaid Fair Hearing were contained in the denial notice. (Exhibit A, pp. 9-10).
6. On [REDACTED], the Michigan Administrative Hearing System received Appellant's request for hearing. (Exhibit A, pp. 12-14 and Exhibit 2).

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Title XIX of the Social Security Act, enacted in 1965, authorizes Federal grants to States for medical assistance to low-income persons who are age 65 or over, blind, disabled, or members of families with dependent children or qualified pregnant women or children. The program is jointly financed by the Federal and State governments and administered by States. Within broad Federal rules, each State decides eligible groups, types and range of services, payment levels for services, and administrative and operating procedures. Payments for services are made directly by the State to the individuals or entities that furnish the services. [42 CFR 430.0].

The State plan is a comprehensive written statement submitted by the agency describing the nature and scope of its Medicaid program and giving assurance that it will be administered in conformity with the specific requirements of title XIX, the regulations in this Chapter IV, and other applicable official issuances of the Department. The State

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

plan contains all information necessary for CMS to determine whether the plan can be approved to serve as a basis for Federal financial participation (FFP) in the State program. [42 CFR 430.10].

Section 1915(b) of the Social Security Act provides:

The Secretary, to the extent he finds it to be cost-effective and efficient and not inconsistent with the purposes of this subchapter, may waive such requirements of section 1396a of this title (other than subsection(s) of this section) (other than sections 1396a(a)(15), 1396a(bb), and 1396a(a)(10)(A) of this title insofar as it requires provision of the care and services described in section 1396d(a)(2)(C) of this title) as may be necessary for a State...

The State of Michigan has opted to simultaneously utilize the authorities of the 1915(b) and 1915(c) programs to provide a continuum of services to disabled and/or elderly populations. Under approval from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) the Department of Community Health (MDCH) operates a section 1915(b) and 1915(c) Medicaid Managed Specialty Services and Support program waiver. CMH contracts with the Michigan Department of Community Health to provide services under the waiver pursuant to its contract obligations with the Department.

Medicaid beneficiaries are entitled to medically necessary Medicaid covered services for which they are eligible. Services must be provided in the appropriate amount, scope, and duration to reasonably achieve the purpose of the covered service. See 42 CFR 440.230.

The Code of Federal Regulations, the state Mental Health Code, and Michigan Medicaid policy mandate that appropriate amount, scope and duration is to be determined through the person-centered planning process. It is indisputable that the federal regulations, state law, and policy, require the cooperation of both the Community Mental Health and the Medicaid beneficiary in the person-centered planning process.

The CMH must follow the Department's Medicaid Provider Manual when approving mental health services to an applicant, and the CMH must apply the medical necessity criteria found within the Medicaid Provider Manual. The Department's *Medicaid Provider Manual, Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Medical Necessity Criteria, October 1, 2012, Section 2.5* lists the criteria the CMH must apply as follows:

**2.5.A. Medical Necessity Criteria**

Mental health, developmental disabilities, and substance abuse services are supports, services and treatment:

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

- Necessary for screening and assessing the presence of a mental illness, developmental disability or substance use disorder; and/or
- Required to identify and evaluate a mental illness, developmental disability or substance use disorder; and/or
- Intended to treat, ameliorate, diminish or stabilize the symptoms of mental illness, developmental disability or substance use disorder; and/or
- Expected to arrest or delay the progression of a mental illness, developmental disability, or substance use disorder; and/or
- Designed to assist the beneficiary to attain or maintain a sufficient level of functioning in order to achieve his goals of community inclusion and participation, independence, recovery, or productivity.

**2.5.B. DETERMINATION CRITERIA**

The determination of a medically necessary support, service or treatment must be:

- Based on information provided by the beneficiary, beneficiary's family, and/or other
- individuals (e.g., friends, personal assistants/aides) who know the beneficiary;
- Based on clinical information from the beneficiary's primary care physician or health care professionals with relevant qualifications who have evaluated the beneficiary;
- For beneficiaries with mental illness or developmental disabilities, based on person centered planning, and for beneficiaries with substance use disorders, individualized treatment planning;
- Made by appropriately trained mental health, developmental disabilities, or substance
- abuse professionals with sufficient clinical experience;
- Made within federal and state standards for timeliness;
- Sufficient in amount, scope and duration of the service(s) to reasonably achieve its/their purpose; and
- Documented in the individual plan of service.

**2.5.C. SUPPORTS, SERVICES AND TREATMENT AUTHORIZED BY THE PIHP**

Supports, services, and treatment authorized by the PIHP must be:

- Delivered in accordance with federal and state standards for timeliness in a location that is accessible to the beneficiary;

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

- Responsive to particular needs of multi-cultural populations and furnished in a culturally relevant manner;
- Responsive to the particular needs of beneficiaries with sensory or mobility impairments and provided with the necessary accommodations;
- Provided in the least restrictive, most integrated setting. Inpatient, licensed residential or other segregated settings shall be used only when less restrictive levels of treatment, service or support have been, for that beneficiary, unsuccessful or cannot be safely provided; and
- Delivered consistent with, where they exist, available research findings, health care practice guidelines, best practices and standards of practice issued by professionally recognized organizations or government agencies.

#### **2.5.D. PIHP DECISIONS**

Using criteria for medical necessity, a PIHP may:

- Deny services that are:
  - deemed ineffective for a given condition based upon professionally and scientifically recognized and accepted standards of care;
  - experimental or investigational in nature; or
  - for which there exists another appropriate, efficacious, less-restrictive and cost effective service, setting or support that otherwise satisfies the standards for medically-necessary services; and/or
- Employ various methods to determine amount, scope and duration of services, including prior authorization for certain services, concurrent utilization reviews, centralized assessment and referral, gate-keeping arrangements, protocols, and guidelines.

A PIHP may not deny services based **solely** on preset limits of the cost, amount, scope, and duration of services. Instead, determination of the need for services shall be conducted on an individualized basis. [pp. 12-14].

The *Medicaid Provider Manual, Mental Health/Substance Abuse, October 1, 2012*, Section 17, articulates Medicaid policy for Michigan, for B3 services including Community Living Supports (CLS).

## **17.2 CRITERIA FOR AUTHORIZING B3 SUPPORTS AND SERVICES**

The authorization and use of Medicaid funds for any of the B3 supports and services, as well as their amount, scope and duration, are dependent upon:

- The Medicaid beneficiary's eligibility for specialty services and supports as defined in this Chapter; and
- The service(s) having been identified during person-centered planning; and
- The service(s) being medically necessary as defined in the Medical Necessity Criteria subsection of this chapter; and
- The service(s) being expected to achieve one or more of the above-listed goals as identified in the beneficiary's plan of service; and
- Additional criteria indicated in certain B3 service definitions, as applicable.

Decisions regarding the authorization of a B3 service (including the amount, scope and duration) must take into account the PIHP's documented capacity to reasonably and equitably serve other Medicaid beneficiaries who also have needs for these services. The B3 supports and services are not intended to meet all the individual's needs and preferences, as some needs may be better met by community and other natural supports. Natural supports mean unpaid assistance provided to the beneficiary by people in his/her network (family, friends, neighbors, community volunteers) who are willing and able to provide such assistance. It is reasonable to expect that parents of minor children with disabilities will provide the same level of care they would provide to their children without disabilities. MDCH encourages the use of natural supports to assist in meeting an individual's needs to the extent that the family or friends who provide the natural supports are willing and able to provide this assistance. PIHPs may not require a beneficiary's natural support network to provide such assistance as a condition for receiving specialty mental health supports and services. The use of natural supports must be documented in the beneficiary's individual plan of service. [p. 111].

### **17.3.B. COMMUNITY LIVING SUPPORTS**

Community Living Supports are used to increase or maintain personal self-sufficiency, facilitating an individual's achievement of his goals of community inclusion and participation, independence or productivity. The supports may be provided in the participant's residence or in community settings (including, but not limited to, libraries, city pools, camps, etc.).

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

Coverage includes:

- Assisting, reminding, observing, guiding and/or training in the following activities:
  - meal preparation
  - laundry
  - routine, seasonal, and heavy household care and maintenance
  - activities of daily living (e.g., bathing, eating, dressing, personal hygiene)
  - shopping for food and other necessities of daily living

CLS services may not supplant state plan services, e.g., Personal Care (assistance with ADLs in a certified specialized residential setting) and Home Help or Expanded Home Help (assistance in the individual's own, unlicensed home with meal preparation, laundry, routine household care and maintenance, activities of daily living and shopping). If such assistance is needed, the beneficiary, with the help of the PIHP case manager or supports coordinator must request Home Help and, if necessary, Expanded Home Help from the Department of Human Services (DHS). CLS may be used for those activities while the beneficiary awaits determination by DHS of the amount, scope and duration of Home Help or Expanded Home Help. The PIHP case manager or supports coordinator must assist, if necessary, the beneficiary in filling out and sending a request for Fair Hearing when the beneficiary believes that the DHS authorization amount, scope and duration of Home Help does not accurately reflect the beneficiary's needs based on findings of the DHS assessment.

- Staff assistance, support and/or training with activities such as:
  - money management
  - non-medical care (not requiring nurse or physician intervention)
  - socialization and relationship building
  - transportation from the beneficiary's residence to community activities, among community activities, and from the community activities back to the beneficiary's residence (transportation to and from medical appointments is excluded)
  - participation in regular community activities and recreation opportunities (e.g., attending classes, movies, concerts and events in a park; volunteering; voting)
  - attendance at medical appointments

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

- acquiring or procuring goods, other than those listed under shopping, and nonmedical services
- Reminding, observing and/or monitoring of medication administration
- Staff assistance with preserving the health and safety of the individual in order that he/she may reside or be supported in the most integrated, independent community setting.

CLS may be provided in a licensed specialized residential setting as a complement to, and in conjunction with, state plan Personal Care services. Transportation to medical appointments is covered by Medicaid through DHS or the Medicaid Health Plan. Payment for CLS services may not be made, directly or indirectly, to responsible relatives (i.e., spouses, or parents of minor children), or guardian of the beneficiary receiving community living supports. (Underline emphasis added by ALJ).

CLS assistance with meal preparation, laundry, routine household care and maintenance, activities of daily living and/or shopping may be used to complement Home Help or Expanded Home Help services when the individual's needs for this assistance have been officially determined to exceed the DHS's allowable parameters. CLS may also be used for those activities while the beneficiary awaits the decision from a Fair Hearing of the appeal of a DHS decision. Reminding, observing, guiding, and/or training of these activities are CLS coverages that do not supplant Home Help or Expanded Home Help.

Community Living Supports (CLS) provides support to a beneficiary younger than 18, and the family in the care of their child, while facilitating the child's independence and integration into the community. This service provides skill development related to activities of daily living, such as bathing, eating, dressing, personal hygiene, household chores and safety skills; and skill development to achieve or maintain mobility, sensorymotor, communication, socialization and relationship-building skills, and participation in leisure and community activities. These supports must be provided directly to, or on behalf of, the child. These supports may serve to reinforce skills or lessons taught in school, therapy, or other settings, but are not intended to supplant services provided in school or other settings or to be provided when the child would typically be in school but for the parent's choice to home-school the child. [p. 114, emphasis added].

The testimony of CMH's witness along with the documentary evidence admitted during the administrative hearing shows that a request was made on behalf of the Appellant for



**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

hour per day of CLS to assist Appellant with meal preparation, laundry, bathing and her medications. The request was denied by CMH's Utilization Manager. Appellant appealed the denial of the CLS hours requested.

The Utilization Manager for CMH stated she was a Licensed Master's Social Worker. The CMH witness referenced the form Personal Care/Community Living supports in a Residential Setting where Hope House provided documentation for services they were providing for which they were requesting a specialized rate, above and beyond the rate an Adult Foster Care Home (AFC) would receive in general for services that they provide. (Exhibit A, pp.6-8).

The Utilization Manager stated Hope house was requesting one unit for meal preparation, and specified it was for GERD and nutritional awareness, a one-on-one request. The Utilization Manager pointed out that the AFC licensing rules require that the meals provided in the AFC homes must meet nutritional allowances and special diets prescribed by a physician. (See Exhibit A, p. 26). The Utilization Manager concluded that the request did not amount to a specialized service rather it was something generally required under the AFC rules.

The Utilization Manager stated the request for a laundry unit was for monitoring procedures in addition to multiple steps. The Utilization Manager stated that under the AFC rules the licensees are required to provide supervision, protection, personal care as written in the person's assessment plan. The rules also state that the licensee shall afford a resident who is capable with opportunities, and instructions when necessary, to routinely launder clothing. (See Exhibit A, pp. 25 & 27). Here the Appellant has seizures and Hope House is required under the rules to provide supervision and protection to the resident for such conditions. Therefore, the request did not amount to a request for a specialized rate, above and beyond the rate for the general services being provided by the AFC home.

The Utilization Manager stated the request for a bathing unit was for monitoring for seizures and thoroughness. Again, under the AFC rules the AFC home is supposed to provide opportunities and instructions for daily bathing and oral or personal hygiene. (See Exhibit A, p. 26). The Utilization Manager again stated that the request for a bathing unit did not amount to a request for a specialized rate for services above and beyond what the AFC home is required to provide under the licensing rules.

Finally, the Utilization Manager addressed the request a unit for assistance with taking medication that was for monitoring disbursement and set-up due to large number of medications taken by the Appellant. The Utilization Manager pointed out that the AFC rules make it the responsibility of the AFC home to house a resident's medications in a safe location and to provide supervision in dispensing those medications. (See Exhibit A, p. 26). In short, again the request did not identify a specialized service above and beyond the services generally being provided by the AFC home. Thus, the request did not meet the criteria or medical necessity for specialized care in the AFC home.

██████████  
**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

The Director of ██████████ testified that it operates ██████████ the AFC home where Appellant is currently residing. The Director testified that the Appellant's doctor has indicated that when the Appellant becomes more fully engaged in things her seizures tend to decline. The Director stated the laundry unit requested involves an 11 step methodology and the medication unit requested involves a nine step methodology.

The Director also indicated Appellant has depression and a seizure disorder which require a long list of medications. She further stated the Appellant is learning about her medications and has been able to verbalize this to an equal extent. She indicated the unit requested for meal preparation was to support Appellant's nutritional needs, because she has a number of allergies and a special diet. The Director indicated they were teaching Appellant about food choices that would help out with her medical conditions. The Director indicated that the low-tier of services being requested would have a tremendous impact on the quality of Appellant's life.

The CMH and the undersigned Administrative Law Judge are bound by the Code of Federal Regulations, the state Mental Health Code, and the Medicaid Provider Manual policy. In this case, Appellant was denied CLS services due to the fact that licensed facility where Appellant was residing was to provide the requested services under the general requirements of their adult foster care license. In short, the CMH stated medical necessity was not shown for the authorization of the CLS services. The preponderance of the evidence shows that the denial of CLS services authorized was proper.

In conclusion, Appellant has failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that CMH's denial of CLS services was improper. CMH's decision to deny the CLS services requested for the Appellant's should be affirmed.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that CMH's denial of CLS services was proper.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

The CMH decision to deny Appellant CLS services is **AFFIRMED**.

*William D Bond*

---

William D. Bond  
Administrative Law Judge  
for James K. Haveman, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

Date Signed: ██████████  
Date Mailed: ██████████

**Docket No. 2013-29698 CMH**  
**Decision and Order**

WDB/db

cc:



**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.