STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-29361 Issue Nos.: 2006. 4003 Case No.: June 6, 2013 Hearing Date: County: Wayne (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 6, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his mother, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included I Assistance Payments Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

- Food Assistance Program (FAP)?
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
 - State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant \boxtimes applied for benefits \square received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

🖂 State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On January 17, 2013, the Department

☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case

due to a determination that the medical information provided was not submitted by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist.

- On January 17, 2013, the Department sent
 □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. □ closure.
- 4. On February 5, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

 \boxtimes The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. On January 8, 2013, Claimant in support of his application for MA and SDA provided the Department with a blank, unsigned Psychiatric/Psychological Examination Report, and an unsigned, completed Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment form signed by Lenora Calhoun. Dept. Exh. 1, pp. 7-11. No information was provided as to Calhoun's credentials, and no co-signature was provided.

At the hearing Claimant testified that Calhoun was his counselor, but he had no further information as to her credentials. It is found and determined that these documents do

not meet the minimum standard for a medical determination, as one form is completely blank, and the other form is signed by a person of unknown credentials.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. The client for his part must cooperate with all Department requests for information needed to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013).

In this case it is found and determined that the Claimant failed to provide the necessary information the Department needed to conduct its business. It is found and determined that the Claimant did not provide sufficient information upon which to base a decision about his eligibility for MA and SDA benefits. The Department required information regarding mental impairment to be submitted by a psychiatrist or psychologist, including a situation where the professional is the co-signer of the documents. The Claimant did not produce this minimum information, making it impossible for the Department to determine eligibility. The Department accordingly is affirmed in this case.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jan

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 11, 2013

Date Mailed: June 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

CC:

