STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-28910 2009

May 23, 2013 Genesee-06

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which govern the administrativ e hearing and appeal process. After due not ice, an inperson hearing was commenced on May 23, 2013, at the DHS office in Genesee County. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Part icipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibilit y Specialist

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (the department) properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and Retro-MA?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On August 27, 2012, Claimant filed an application for MA-P and Retro-MA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On November 16, 2012, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's app lication for MA-P and Retro-MA indicating that she was capable of performing past relevant work. (Depart Ex. A, pp 1-2).
- (3) On December 19, 2012, the department caseworker sent Claim ant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On February 6, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On April 12, 2013, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled and retained the ability to perform past relevant work. (Depart Ex. B).
- (6) The depar tment's witness prov ided this presiding Administrative Law Judge with verification of the So cial Security Administratio n's (SSA's) decision with an SOLQ, finding Claimant was disabled with a benefit entitlement effective July 27, 2012.
- (7) The department stipulated on the record that Claimant was approved for Medicaid effective 7/27/12 in accord with the SOLQ.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance (MA) program is established by Subc hapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department, (DHS or de partment), pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrativ e Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility M anual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In Michigan, the SSA's deter mination of disability onset is binding for MA eligibility purposes. In the present case, evidence of the favorable SSA decision conclusively establishes Claimant m eets the federal standard necessar y to qualify for MA pursuant to BEM Items 150 and 260.

Based on the SOLQ showing SSA found that Claimant was disabled with an onset date of July, 2012, the only remaining issue is whether Claimant is eligible for Retro-MA. Departmental policy provides that Retro-MA coverage is available back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to:

• The current application for FIP and MA applicants and persons applying to be added to the group.

• The most recent application (not redetermination) for FIP and MA recipients. BAM 115

In this case, Claimant applied for MA and Retro-MA on August 27, 2012. Claimant was found Disable d by SSA with an establis hed onset date of July, 2012. According to departmental policy, "Retro-MA coverage is available back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to the current application for . . . MA." BEM 150. Therefore, based on depar tment policy, this Administrative Law Judge finds Claimant is entitled to Retro- MA back to the first day of the third calendar month prior to her August 27, 2012 application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in de termining Claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The depar tment shall approve MA and Retro-MA benefits for Claimant as long as she is otherwis e eligible to receive them with Retro-MA back to June, 2012.
- 2. Departmental review of Claim ant's medical condition is not necessary as long as her SSA disability status continues.

It is SO ORDERED.

Dichi Z.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 24, 2013

Date Mailed: May 24, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 day s of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely r equest for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

2013-28910/VLA

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is ne wly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to addres s other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the loc al DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

