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## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Debra Broaden.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for Claimant's failure to attend Work First (WF)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On December 18, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Work Participation appointment notice for January 2, 2013.
2. On January 11, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a notice of noncompliance with WF and scheduled a triage appointment for January 17, 2013.
3. On January 17, 2013, Claimant attended the triage appointment and no good cause was found.
4. On January 11, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying Claimant that her FIP was going to close on February 1, 2013.
5. On January 29, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closing of her FIP case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
$\boxtimes$ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she attended the triage and told those attending that she was unable to attend WF due to a lack of transportation. She further testified that she did not call about the transportation problem until the day of the appointment because her godmother was going to take her to WF but had car problems that same day.

The Department testified that it could not verify or refute that Claimant called the day of her WF appointment.

The Department questioned Claimant as to why she didn't contact her worker about her transportation barrier and Claimant replied that she tried to do so but was not successful in reaching her.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. Gardiner v Courtright, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); Dep't of Community Health v Risch, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. Dep't of Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; People v Terry, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter. People v Wade, 303 Mich 303 (1942), cert den, 318 US 783 (1943).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and finds that Claimant presented a valid and unresolved barrier at the triage. BEM 233A (January 2013).

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
$\square$ did act properly when
$\boxtimes$ did not act properly when it closed Claimant's FIP on February 1, 2013.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

## 【 THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP back to February 1, 2013, and supplement for missed benefits.


Date Signed: June 19, 2013
Date Mailed: June 19, 2013
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
- failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
MJB/pf
cc:


