STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-27796 2006 May 23, 2013 Wayne (82-49)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldma	an				
HEARING DE	CISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 23, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included					
ISSUE	<u> </u>				
Due to a failure to submit the completed redetermination, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		ogram (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:					
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: [☐CDC. 	∏FIP ∏FAP ⊠MA	□AMP □SDA			
 Claimant ⋈ was ☐ was not provided with 1. 	a Redetermination	(DHS-1010). Exhibit			
3. Claimant was required to submit a redeterm	nination by January 2	, 2013. Exhibit 1.			

no	n January 19, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action tifying him that his MA case closed effective February 1, 2013, ongoing, for failure submit the completed redetermination in a timely manner. Exhibit 1.
5. Or	n January 30, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Admir	rtment policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges nistrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Reference Tables al (RFT).
Respo 42 US Agend throug	the Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, BC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ive October 1, 1996.
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code 001 through 400.3015.
Secur The D	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the rogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
	he Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is nistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for dis	ne State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance sabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known e Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0, et seq., and Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and X 1990,	ne Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE (X) of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

A client must complete a redetermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210 (November 2012), p. 1. For MA cases, benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p. 2. Also, the redetermination month is 12 months from the date the most recent complete application was submitted. BAM 210, p. 2. Local offices must assist clients who need and request help to complete applications, forms and obtain verifications. BAM 210, p. 1.

In this case, on December 11, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination in connection with his continued eligibility for his MA benefits. The redetermination was due on January 2, 2013. Exhibit 1. The Department did not receive a completed redetermination nor was the Department contacted by Claimant prior to the redetermination due date; therefore, the Department closed Claimant's MA case effective February 1, 2013, ongoing, based on his failure to submit a completed redetermination. Exhibit 1.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that he did receive the redetermination. Claimant also testified that he did not submit the redetermination to the Department because there were no changes in his record. Additionally, Claimant testified that he does have medical conditions that make it difficult to for him to complete the forms, of which he said the Department is aware. However, Claimant testified that he never contacted the Department for assistance with completing his redetermination.

Based on the foregoing information and evidence, the Department properly closed Claimant's MA case effective February 1, 2013, ongoing, in accordance with Department policy because Claimant failed to submit a completed redetermination. BAM 210, pp. 1-2.

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law, and for the reasons stated above and on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did act properly. did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.
Eric Feldman Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 30, 2013

Date Mailed: June 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

EJF/pf

