STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES





 Reg. No.:
 2013-2752

 Issue No.:
 1038

 Case No.:
 Image: County and the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 5, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Claimant. Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case based on Claimant's failure to participate in employment-related activities without good cause?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant
applied for benefits
received benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA). Direct Support Services (DSS). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On October 1, 2012, the Department closed Claimant's FIP case due to her failure to participate in employment-related activities without good cause.
- On September 14, 2012, the Department sent

 Claimant
 Claimant is Authorized Representative (AR)

 Idenial. ∑ closure.

4. On September 28, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Direct Support Services (DSS) is adminis tered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, in order to increase thei r employabilit y and obtain employment, work eligible individuals (WEIs) seeking FIP are required to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program or other employment-related acti vity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that m eet participation requirements. BEM 230A (December 1, 2011), p 1; BEM 233A (May 1, 2012), p 1. F ailing or refusing to comply with assigned activities or participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities without good cause constitutes a noncompliance with JET required activities justifying closure of a client's FIP case. BEM 233A, pp 1-2. However, JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program and may not hav e their FIP cases clos ed without the Department first scheduling a triage m eeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A (May 1, 2012), p 7.

The Department testified that it sent Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance to her Lake Pine address, her address of record, on September 14, 2012, notifying her that she had failed to comply in FIP-required employment-related activities and scheduling a triage on September 21, 2012. Claim ant credibly testified that she did not receive the Notice of Noncompliance, or the S eptember 14, 2012 Notice of Case Action closing her FI P case effective October 1, 2012, until Septem ber 24, 2012. Claim ant explained that she continued to reside at the Lake Pine address at the time both notices were sent but was temporarily living in her par ent's home while water damage at the Lake Pine residence e was being addressed. Claimant credibly testified that she had her mail forwarded to her parent's address but did not rec eive the Notice of Noncompliance until after the triage date.

Because Claimant established that she di d not timely r eceive the Notice of Noncompliance due to circumstances beyond her control and did not have the opportunity to present her good cause expl anation for her noncompliance to the Department at the tri age, the Department did not act in accord ance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case on the bas is that she failed to c omply with employment-related activities without good cause.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge conclud es that the D epartment improperly closed Claimant's FIP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP K FIP FAP AA SDA CDC DSS decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Remove the FIP sanction imposed on or about October 1, 2012 from Claimant's record;
- 2. Reinstate Claimant's FIP case as of October 1, 2012;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claim ant for any FIP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not for October 1, 2012, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 13, 2012

Date Mailed: December 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

2013-2752/ACE

