#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013 27000
Issue No.:	3008
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	April 1, 2013
County:	Macomb (36)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request fo r a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held wi th the Claimant and a tel ephone hearing was held with SSPC East on April 1, 2013, from Sterling Heights, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Hum an Services (Department) included yant, Assistance Pay ments Supervisor, and , Assistance Payments Worker.

#### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification req uirements, did the Department properly 🖂 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant  $\boxtimes$  applied for  $\square$  was receiving:  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by November 26, 2012. Exhibit 2.

- 3. On December 1, 2012 , the Department denied Claimant's application.
  - closed Claimant's case.
  - reduced Claimant's benefits.
- 4. On November 28, 2012, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.

  ☐ closure of Claimant's case.

  ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 5. On February 1, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of Claimant's application.
  - closure of Claimant's case.
  - reduction of Claimant's benefits.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

🗌 The Ch	ild Development an	d Care (CDC	;) program is establis	hed by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of	the Soc ial Security	y Act, the Ch	ild Care and Develop	m ent Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the evidence presented by the Department demonstrated that the verification of employment that was due November 26, 2012 and was not received. On December 18, 2012 several faxes were received regarding employment verification by the Department. One of the faxes received in the form of a letter was not adequate as no address or phone number of the person completing the employment verification handwritten letter was provided. A second verification came through on the fax and was incomplete. The Department, out of courtesy continuated, the Claimant, even though the application had been denied to a dvise the faxed information was incomplete. Exhibit 4 and 5. Based upon the information available at the time the Department denied the application, it is determined that the Department correctly denied the application for failure to verify employment before the due date. The Claimant may reapply at any time for Food Assistance. BAM 130 pp5 (1-1-2012).

Based upon th	e above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons
stated on the r	ecord, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
properly	

closed Claimant's case.

 $\overline{\boxtimes}$  denied Claimant's application.

] reduced Claimant's benefits.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 11, 2013

Date Mailed: April 11, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### LMF/cl

