STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MA	TTER OF:		
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201326931 3009 February 27, 2013 Alpena
ADMINIST	RATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully	/	
	HEARING DE	CISION	
and MCL 4 telephone Participants	is before the undersigned Administration 400.37 following Claimant's reque hearing was held on February on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (De	st for a hearing. 27, 2013, from	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan. Participants
	ISSUE		
Did the Dep	partment properly 🔀 deny Claiman	t's application 🔲 cl	lose Claimant's case
☐ Food As	ndependence Program (FIP)? ssistance Program (FAP)? Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
	FINDINGS OF	F FACT	
	istrative Law Judge, based on th n the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
1.	Claimant applied for benefits Independence Program (FIP), Food Assistance Program (SDA), Medical Assistance (CDC).	Adult Medical (FAP), State [Assistance (AMP), Disability Assistance
2.	On January 2, 2013, the Depar		

3.	On January 2, 2013, the Department sent \boxtimes Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \boxtimes denial. \square closure.
4.	On January 25, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	t policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsibil 42 USC 60 Agency) ad through Ru	nily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal lity and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 11, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence liministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 le 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctober 1, 1996.
program] is implemente Regulations Agency) ad	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ed by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal is (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence liministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 le 400.3015.
Security Ac The Depart	dical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It the the the the the the the the the th
	dult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ed by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for disabled Services (fo	te Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance dipersons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human primerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA irsuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule
and XX of 1990, and the The program and 99. T	Id Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of he Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. m is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 he Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL nd 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application, improperly denied Claimant's application, properly closed Claimant's case, improperly closed Claimant's case for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
/s/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			
Date Signed: March 1, 2013			

Date Mailed: March 1, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb



