## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-26754 2006 May 22, 2013 Wayne (82-19)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane					
HEARING DECIS	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 22, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included					
ISSUE					
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
	State Disability As Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:					
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	IP □FAP ⊠MA [	□SDA □CDC.			
2. Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by Decem	nber 31, 2012.			
<ul> <li>3. On January 1, 2013, the Department</li> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits .</li> </ul>					

☐ denial ☑ closur	mber 17, 2012, the Department sent notice of the of Claimant's application. The of Claimant's case. The claimant's benefits.			
☐ denial ☑ closur	ary 25, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the of Claimant's application. e of Claimant's case. tion of Claimant's benefits.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.			
Department	policy states, in part:			
	The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help. BAM 130 (May 2012).			
	ng, Claimant testified that the Department's request for verification was to an "oversight."			
stated on the	the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly			
denied Cl	aimant's case. laimant's application. Claimant's benefits.			
DECISION AND ORDER				
	trative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department operly    did not act properly.			

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 26, 2013

Date Mailed: June 26, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/pf

