STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-26671

Issue No.:

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 7, 2013 County: Wayne (82-18)

1038

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Eric Feldman

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on March 7, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above-named Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly closed Claimant's case for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits based on Claimant's failure to participate in employment-related activities without good cause.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient and was required to participate in employment-related activities.
- Claimant failed to attend an employment-related activity on January 16, 2013.
- 3. On January 16, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action (Exhibit 1) closing Claimant's FIP case, effective February 1, 2013, based on a failure to participate in employment-related activities without good cause.

- 4. On January 16, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance (Exhibit 2) scheduling Claimant for a triage appointment on January 24, 2013.
- 5. On January 24, 2013, Claimant attended the triage appointment and the Department found no good cause for Claimant's failure to attend an employment-related activity.
- 6. On January 28, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the FIP benefit termination.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The present case involves a FIP benefit termination, effective February 1, 2013, based on Claimant's failure to attend an employment-related activity on January 16, 2013. Claimant did attend the triage appointment on January 24, 2013; however, the Department testified that it found no good cause for Claimant's failure to attend the employment-related activity.

Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A (January 2013), p. 1. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. BEM 230A, p. 1. PATH participants will not be terminated from PATH without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A (January 2013), p. 7. Good cause is determined during triage. BEM 233A, p. 7. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person and must be verified. BEM 233A, p. 3.

In this case, the Department testified that Claimant failed to attend her employment-related activity on January 16, 2013. While Claimant contacted her worker on January 16, 2013, and stated she could not attend her appointment because her sister's car would not start, the Department credibly testified that it had previously informed Claimant that she could obtain transportation in advance and/or on the same day to take her to her scheduled appointment. Furthermore, the Department testified that Claimant also falsified her job search paperwork for the time period of January 7, 2013, to January 11, 2013. The Department testified that Claimant applied for a company that no longer existed because the business was destroyed by a fire.

Claimant left the hearing before it had concluded. Three attempts were made to talk with Claimant at the phone number that she provided. Moreover, a voicemail was left for Claimant to contact the Michigan Administrative Hearing System in Detroit, Michigan, for her hearing to continue. However, Claimant never contacted the Detroit office. Thus, Claimant failed to offer any evidence to counter the Department's testimony.

Based on the forgoing evidence, the Department established that Claimant failed to participate in employment-related activities without good cause. Therefore, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case. Because this was Claimant's first noncompliance, the Department acted in accoradnce with Department policy when it closed Claimant's case for a three-month minimum. BEM 233A, pp. 1 and 6.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA.

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \(\square \text{ did act properly.} \) \(\square \text{ did not act properly.} \)
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Eric J. Feldman
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 13, 2013

Date Mailed: March 13, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

EJF/pf

