#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013 26417 3000, 1021

March 7, 2013 Wayne County DHS (19)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Ma rch 7, 2013 from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included t he Claimant. Path Cas e Manager, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department).

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly pay the Claimant's EFIP benefits and did Claimant receive all EFIP she was entitled to receive?

Did the Claimant rec eive the supplement of \$175 es tablished by the Notic e of Case Action dated January 15, 2013?

Did the Department properly clos e the Claimant's FAP case du e to failure to file the Semi Annual Report?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Department agreed to settle the issue with regard to whether the Claimant filed her Semi Annual Contact Report and agreed to reinstate the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to December 1, 2012, the date of closure, and compute the FAP benefits and issue a supplement, if any, that Claim ant is other wise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

- 2. The Claim ant received EFIP benefit s for a 6 month period beginning August 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013. Exhibit 2.
- 3. The Claimant received EFIP benefits during the period as the Department believed she was employed during the period August 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013.
- 4. The Department in its Notice of Case Action stated that it corrected the Claim ant's FIP benefits for the period of March 16, 2012 through June 30, 2012. The benefit supplement(s) were paid to the Claimant on January 15, 2013. Exhibits 3 and 4.
- 5. The Claimant requested a hearing on January 29, 2013 regarding food assistance and case assistance benefits.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case the Claimant disputed whether she had received her EFIP benefits for Janaury, 2013. EF IP benefits are generally payable for a six month period after an FIP recipient becomes employed, as was the case here. BEM 519 provides:

Families receive EFIP for up to six months, with a grant of \$10, when loss of FIP eligibil ity relates to income from employment of a FIP program group member.

Each month an individual receiv es EFIP counts towards the individual's FIP time limit. See BEM 234, FIP Time Limits.

EFIP starts the month following the last month the family was eligible for regular FIP regardless of when the EFIP determination is made. BEM 519, pp. 1, (1/1/13).

It is determined that Claimant did receive EF IP for a period of six months based up on the Benefit Summary inquiry pr ovided by the Department as evidenc e during the hearing, the Benefit Summary inquiry show s payment of \$10 per month for a 6 month period beginning August 2012 through January 31, 2013. Exhibit 4.

The Claimant also testified t hat she did not receive a FIP supplement in the amount of \$175 established by the Departm ent's Notice of Case Acti on dated January 15, 2013. Exhibit 3. Again although the Department could not expl ain why the supplements were made and whether t hey were correct, a revi ew of Exhibit 4, the Benefit Summary Inquiry, established that the supplements were paid on Januar y 15, 2013. Thus it is determined that the Department properly paid the supplement and no further issue with regard to the supplements remains.

Lastly the Department has settled the FAP case clos ure issue, thus there r emains no issue to be resolved with regard to the filing by the Claimant of the Semi-Annual Report.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly when it paid the Claimant EFIP in full on January 1, 2013 and issued and paid the \$175 supplement on January 15, 2013.

in did not act properly when it closed Claimant's FAP case for failing to file a semi annual contact report.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED and SETT LED for t he reasons stated on the record.and the Findings made in this Decision.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to December 1, 2012 and shall calculate the FAP benefits according to Department Policy.
- 2. The Department shall issue a FAP supplement to the Claimant for FAP benefits the Claimant was ot herwise entitled to receive in ac cordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 12, 2013

Date Mailed: March 12, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

