STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: Issue No: Case No:

Hearing Date: March 12, 2013

2013-26312

2014, 3015

Wayne-43 County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge purs uant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 12, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and Participants and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly process the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as m aterial fact, based on the compet ent, material, and subst antial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. On January 14, 2013, the Claimant applied for FAP and MA benefits.
- 2. On or around January 14, 2013, the Claimant wa s approved f or FAP and MA benefits.
- 3. On January 25, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establishhed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is establis hed by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of t he Code of Federal Regula tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

Testimony and other evidence must be weig hed and considered according to its reasonableness. Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.

After reviewing the testimony provided, I find that more likely than not, the Claimant did not apply for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits on January 14, 2013. The Department had no r ecord of a FI P application. And the Claimant's testimony was wishywashy at best as the Claimant could not recall the time frame of the alleged application. The Claimant originally in dicated the application was in early Jan uary, December and then changed her mind to August of 2012.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and C onclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I have concluded the Department properly processed the Claimant's January 14, 2013 application.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP and MA decision is **AFFIRMED** for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 13, 2013

Date Mailed: March 13, 2013

5410 Mailea. <u>Maiori 10, 2010</u>

¹ Gardiner v Courtright, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); Dep't of Community Health v Risch, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

² Dep't of Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; People v Terry, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

³ People v Wade, 303 Mich 303 (1942), cert den, 318 US 783 (1943).

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claim ant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be gr anted if t here is newly disco vered evidence that c ould affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

CC:

