## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J	ГΗ	ΕI	M.	Δ٦	ГТІ	FI	$\mathbf{Q}$		F٠
11	ч і	П		IVI /	н і			` '	_	Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-26059 2000 May 20, 2013 Wayne (19)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer						
SETTLEMENT ORDER						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 20, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included his Authorized Representative,  The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included, Eligibility Specialist and, Assistance Payments Worker Supervisor.						
ISSUE						
Whether the Department properly:						
X denied Claimant's application for benefits  closed Claimant's case for benefits  reduced Claimant's benefits						
for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ X Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme	ent and Care (CDC)?  Services (SER)?				

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On no date, the Department:					
	<ul> <li>         □ approved or denied Claimant's application for benefits         □ closed Claimant's case for benefits         □ reduced Claimant's benefits     </li> </ul>					
	under the following program(s):					
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.					
2.	On no date, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:					
	<ul><li>☑ Department's action.</li><li>☐ closure.</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>					
3.	On August 24, 2011, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

**X** The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate and process Claimant's MA application of March 18, 2011.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN 10 (TEN) DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's March 18, 2011 Medicaid and retroactive Medicaid application.
- 2. Determine Claimant's eligibility for Medicaid and retroactive Medicaid benefits.
- 3. Provide benefits as appropriate.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 10, 2013
Date Mailed: June 11, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

CC:

L&S Assoc.

Wayne County (19) DHS/1843

EQAD

J. Leventer