STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MΔ	TTFR	OF.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-25784 2006; 3008 February 28, 2013 Wayne (41)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. E	Burke	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requitelephone hearing was held on February 28, 20 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and the Department of Human Services (Department, APS.	est for a hearing. 2013, from Detroit, M Par	After due notice, a
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>	
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claima ☐ calculate Claimant's benefits for:	ant's application 🛛 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	•	rial, and substantial
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive 	ed benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).

- 2. On December 11, 2012, the Department issued a redetermination form with a due date of January 2, 2013, to Claimant at his last known address (Exhibit B)
- 3. Claimant notified the Department of his change of address on January 10, 2013.
- 4. The Department extended the due date to January 31, 2013.
- 5. On January 19, 2013, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action to Claimant's new address, informing him that his MA and FAP benefit cases would close, effective January 31, 2013.

6.	On January 28, 2013, Claima	ant filed a hearing reques	st, protesting the
	denial of the application.	\boxtimes closure of the case.	calculation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

In the present case, the Department issued a redetermination form for Claimant to return by January 2, 2013. However, the form was returned to the Department as undeliverable. The Department, after learning of Claimant's change of address on January 10, 2013, extended the due date to return redetermination forms to January 31, 2013. However, due to missed calls between the Department and Claimant, Claimant did not receive the redetermination form, and the Department therefore did not have the completed form by the new due date. The Department then closed Claimant's case, with the effective date of January 31, 2013.

Clients are required to cooperate with the Department in determining continued eligibility; BAM 105; BAM 130. In this case, I do not find that Claimant failed to cooperate once the new deadline of January 31, 2013 was given. Claimant attempted to call his worker several times, after the new deadline was given, for clarification of what the Department required from him, but he was not able to get in touch with his worker. In addition, The Department did not offer into evidence proof that it sent copies of the redetermination form to Claimant's new address to complete and return by the new due date.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ properly calculated Claimant's benefits □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly calculated Claimant's benefits 					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \boxtimes did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
\boxtimes THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:					
 Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP and MA cases, effective January 31, 2013, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for the benefits. Issue FAP supplements, in accordance with Department policy. 					
Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: February 28, 2013					
Date Mailed: February 28, 2013					
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)					

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within

30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

