STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:2013-25570Issue No:1005, 3008Case No:Hearing Date:Hearing Date:April 18, 2013Saginaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: COREY A. ARENDT

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Apr il 18, 2013 from Lansing, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Constant Participants** on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Constant Participants**

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed t he Claimant's case for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and r educed the Claimant's Food A ssistance Program (FAP) benefits and due to noncooperation with child support?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based upon the com petent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. As of December 1, 2012, the Claimant was receiving FAP and FIP benefits.
- 2. On Decem ber 14, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of c ase action. The notice indicated the Department was closing the Claimant's FIP case and reducing the Claim ant's FAP benefits for noncooperation with the Office of Child Support.
- 3. After receiving the notice of case ac tion, the Claimant contacted the Saginaw County Pr osecutor's office and attempt ed to get an appointment to establis h paternity and/or child support. The secretary at the Pr osecutor's office indicated there were no appointments currently available.
- 4. On January 2, 2013, the Claim ant went to the Prosecutor's office without an appointment and provided information to establish paternity and/or child support.

- 5. On or around January 2, 2013, the Prosecutor's office placed the Claimant in cooperation status.
- 6. On January 9, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to cont est a department decis ion affect ing eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is belie ved that the decision is inco rrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

Families are strengthened wh en children's needs are met. Parents have a responsibility to meet their children's needs by providing support and/or cooperating with the department including the Office of Child Support (OCS), the Friend of the Court and the prosecuting attorney to establish paternity and/or obtain support from an absent parent. BEM 255, p. 1.

Clients must comply with all requests for action or information needed t o establish paternity and/or obtain chil d support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause fo r not cooperating has been granted or is pending.

Absent parents are required to support their children. Support includes all the following:

Child support

•

- . Medical support
- . Payment for medical care from any third party.

Failure to cooperate without go od cause results in disqualif ication. Dis qualification includes member removal, denial of program benefits, and/or case closure, depending on the program.

Exceptions to the cooperation requirement are allowed for all child support actions except failure to return court-ordered su pport payments received after the payment effective date. Grant good cause only if:

- . requiring c ooperation/support acti on is a gainst the child's best interests, and
- . there is a specific "good cause" reason.

If good cause exists, cooperation is excus ed as an eligibility requir ement for the child involved. It can still be required for another child in the same family. BEM 255, pp. 1-2.

Cooperation is a condition of elig ibility. The follo wing persons in the eligible group are required to cooperate in establishing pa ternity and obtaining support, unless good cause has been granted or is pending.

- . Grantee and spouse.
- . Specified relative/person acting as a parent and spouse.
- Parent of the child for whom paternity and/or support action is required.

Cooperation is required in all phases of the process to establish paternity and obtain support and includes all of the following:

- . Contacting the SS when requested.
- . Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- . Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.
- . Taking any actions needed to establis h paternity and obtain child support (e.g., testifying at hearings or obtaining blood tests).

In this matter, I was unable to verify whet her or not contact/appointment letters were indeed sent to the Claimant from the Prosecutor's office. In addition, I cannot find any fault on behalf of the Claimant when she attempted to schedule an appointment but the Prosecutor's office told her ther e were no appointments available. Because I was unable to review thes e forms and because I find no fault on behalf of the Claimant a s she tried but was unsuccessful in schedu ling an appointment , I am reversing the Department in this matter.

Accordingly, I find the Depart ment improper ly clos ed the Claimant's FIP case an d reduced the Claimant 's FAP benefits for failure to comply with the Office of Child Support.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclus ions of law, that the Department improperly closed the Claimant's FIP case and reduced the Claimant's FAP benefits due to child support noncooperation.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to t he Claimant's eligibility for FAP and FI P benefits beginning January 1, 2013 and issue retroactive benefit if otherwise eligible and qualified.

act

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 19, 2013

Date Mailed: April 19, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.



CAA/las