STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 25415

Issue No.: 3019

Case No.: Hearing Date:

March 7, 2013

County: Wayne County DHS (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Marc h 7, 2013, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant. Pa rticipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's FAP case after Claimant signed a Disqualification Consent Agreement and Intentional Program Violation Repayment Agreement?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Department issued a Notice of Ca se Action on January 8, 2013 closin g the claimant's Food Assistance case effective February 1, 2013. The reason for the intended action was group member has an Intentional Program Violation disqualification. The group m ember has been previous ly notified of this information. Exhibit 1
- 2. The Department issued an Intentional Program Vi olation Client Notice on January 8, 2013 which advised Claimant that her food assistance benefits were

cancelled effective February 1, 2013. The Notice also provided that the reason for the action is checked, indicating you agreed to this disqualification and/or repayment by signing an agreement to waive prosecution or an administrative disqualification hearing. The overissuance occurred during the period(s) 4-2011 thru 2-2012. You must repay the Food A ssistance overissuance of \$250.000. Exhibit 2.

- 3. The Claimant signed an Intentional Program Violation Repayment Agreement on December 20, 2012 and agreed to repay the overissuance on the 15th of each month in monthly cash payments of \$50 per month.
- 4. The Claimant also si gned a Dis qualification Consent Agreement dated 12/20/12 agreeing to a one year disqualification.
- 5. At the hearing the Claimant testified that she was not told that she had to sign the agreement and was not coerced into signing the agreement.
- 6. The Claimant requested a hearing on January 11, 2013 protesting the closure of her food assistance case stating in part that she did not have her glasses, however when I got home and read the papers I didn't willfully defraud the food stamp program.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e

Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.
Additionally, at the hearing the Claimant confirmed that she signed the documents agreeing to the one year disqualification from the Food Assistance Program and an agreement to repay a \$250 overissuance of Food Assistance benefits. The Claimant also under stood that the issue involved whether or not should hat the OIG agent that she met with did not tell her she had to sign the documents and she was not coerced to sign the documents. Based upon the Claimant's sworn testimony it is determined that the Claimant signed the document so fher own free will. The Claimant signed the documents in two places and initial ed the documents without first reading the documents with her reading glasses. Under these circumstances it is determined that the Claim ant signed the document at her own peril and thus is bound by her agreements. The Department's action in this case was based on the Claimant's agreements and thus the Department properly closed the Claimant's Food Assistance case.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when it closed the Claimant's Food Assistance for one year when the Claimant signed a Disqualification Consent Agreement.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris`
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 12, 2013

Date Mailed: March 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

cc: