STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 25411 Issue No.: Case No.: 3014, 2000

Hearing Date: February 25, 2013

County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 25, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and a witness, Participants on behalf of the Depar tment of Human Services (Department) included FIS, and FIM.									
<u>ISSUE</u>									
Whether the Department properly:									
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits									
for:									
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?								

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On December 31, 2012, the Department:					
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☑ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 					
	under the following program(s):					
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.					
2.	On December 31, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:					
	☑ denial☑ closure☐ reduction.					
3.	On January 8, 2013, Claimant filed a r equest for hearing concerning the Department's action.					
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).					
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 by Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.					
progra impler Regul Agend	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.					
Secur The D	e Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 05.					

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rul e 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department police ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).
In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: at the hearing the Department agreed to add the Claimant to his spouse's FAP group which is Case No. and the Department agreed to add the Claimant to his spouse's MA group effective November 1, 2012.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1.	The Department shall	initiate	processi r	ng a	change	adding	the CI	aimant to	the MA
	Group for Case No.) effect	ive Nover	m ber 1,
	2012.								

2. The Department shall initiate processing a change adding the Claimant to the FAP group for Case effective March 1, 2013.

Lynn M. Ferris`
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 28, 2013

Date Mailed: February 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

