STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013-25236
Issue No.:	2009
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	May 15, 201
County:	Van Buren

009 lav 15, 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Ad ministrative Law Judge upon Claimant's chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi which gov ern the administrative hearing a nd appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on May 15. 2013, from Lansing, Michigan, Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Departm ent) included Family Indep endence Manager

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) pr operly deny Claimant 's Medic al Assistance (MA) and Retro-MA application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On May 15, 2013, Claimant filed an application for MA/Retro-MA benefit s alleging disability.
- 2. On October 17, 2012, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA/Retro-MA. (Depart Ex. A, pp 28-29).
- 3. On October 24, 2012, the department caseworker sent Claimant notice that her application for MA/Retro-MA had been denied.
- 4 On January 22, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- 5. On, the State Hearin g Rev iew Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled due to lack of duration of 12 months. (Depart Ex. B, pp 1-2).
- 6. Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Securi ty disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
- 7. Claimant is a 50 year old woman whos e birthday is Claimant is currently 5'10" tall and weighs 171 lbs.
- 8. Claimant does not have an alc ohol/drug or nicotine abuse pr oblem or history.
- 9. Claimant does not have a driver's license and does not drive due to her high anxiety.
- 10. Claimant has a high school education.
- 11. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in 2003.
- 12. Claimant alleges dis ability on the bas is of obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), anxiety, hypoglycemia, degener ative disc disease, neuropathy, depression and ovarian cysts.
- 13. Medical evidence indicates Claimant has adequate contact with reality and low self-es teem. Her mo tor activity is slowed and s he appear s to be dependent on others for m any of her basic needs. She demonstrates adequate levels of insight. Claimant had been in therapy at for the past 18 months . She is diagnosed with a n anxiety disorder and a major depressiv e disor der, recurrent, moderate severity. GAF is 53. She pres ented as an extremely flat and d epressed individual. She appeared to have difficulty copi ng with the demands of daily life and is likely to be easily overwhelmed. These issues are only exacerbated by her physical health problems. Her overall prognosis is considered poor.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disa bility or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition

of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

... the inability to do any subs tantial gainful activ ity by reason of any medically dete rminable physical or mental impairment which c an be expect ed to result in death or which has lasted or can be expect ted to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require t hat several considerations be analyzed in s equential order:

... We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further. 20 CF R 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and Iaboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed im pairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis

continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

- Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application Claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

... You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an im pairment(s) and how seve re it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not al one establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). T he medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to mak e a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic al or mental impairment.
- (b) Signs are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be obs erved, apart from your statements (symptoms). Si gns must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic t echniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indic ate s pecific ps ychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalit ies of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must al so be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be s hown by the use of a medically accept able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tes ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). You can only be found dis abled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologic al, or psychological abnormalities which are demons trable by medically acc eptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analys is herein, Claimant is not ine ligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de min imus* standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whet her an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ab ility of the ap plicant to return to past relevant work. This step ex amines the physical and mental dem ands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this cas e, this ALJ finds that Claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applie s the biographical data of the applic ant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once Claimant reaches Step 5 in the sequential review process, Claimant has already established a *prima facie* case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Hum an Services,* 735 F2d 962 (6th Cir, 1984). At that point, the burden of proof is on the state to prove by substant ial evidence that Claim ant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity.

Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant's exertional and nonexertional impairments render Claimant unable to engage in a full range of even sedentary work activit ies on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CF R 404, Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201. 00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83- 10; *Wilson v Heckler*, 743 F2d 216 (1986).

Moreover, it is noted that Claimant's application was denied due to lack of duration of 12 months. Howev er, the objective medica I evidence presented clearly stated Claimant had been in therapy for anxiety and depression for the past 18 months.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

2013-25236/VLA

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The department shall process Claim ant's August 3, 2012, MA/Retro-MA application, and s hall award her all the benefits she may be entitled t o receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The department shall rev iew Claimant's medica I cond ition for improvement in May, 2014, unless her Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

Decli Z

Vicki Administrative

L. Armstrong Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 17, 2013

Date Mailed: May 17, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

- typographical errors, mathematical error r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322



