STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	201325170 1005 February 19, 2013
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. H	County:	Macomb County DHS #26
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE. Susanne E. F	141115	
HEARING DEC	ISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on February Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) is Independence Specialist (FIS).	t for a hearing. 19, 2013, from e Claimant. Partic	After due notice, a Lansing, Michigan.
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant' for:	s application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material f	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received l	penefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

 On January 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application
 On December 10, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
 On January 9, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3103 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.300 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independency Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Huma Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SD program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Ru 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IV and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant (1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1990). The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Parts 9

Date Mailed: 3/22/13

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In this case, the Claimant testified that she never received the verification checklist the department sent her on November 21, 2012. The Claimant testified that she had been having problems with her mail. The Department testified that the verification checklist was returned to the Department. The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, because the Department concedes that the Claimant's mail was returned to the Department, the Claimant has rebutted the presumption of receipt of such mail.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative La	and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes that the Department	
properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case	
for: 🗌 AMP 🖾 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SD	DA CDC.	
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upor of Law, and for the reasons stated on the red did act properly. ⊠ did not act prop		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the re	FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision casons stated on the record.	
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISI	DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF ON AND ORDER:	
 Re-instate the Claimant's FIP case Issue the Claimant any supplement 		
	/s/ Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	
Date Signed: 2/27/13		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/cr

