STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| | | | | | _ | _ |
|------|---|--------|------------------|------------|--------|---|
| | | B / / | \ T - | ΓFR | \sim | |
| | - | 11/1 / | | ı – ĸ | | |
| | | | | | | |



Medical Assistance (MA).

Reg. No.: 2013-5131 Issue No.: 2006; 4003 Case No.: 1

Hearing Date: May 15, 2013 County: Wayne (18)

☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 15, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Matter Modern.

<u>ISSUE</u>

| Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claimant's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case $igsqcup$ calculate Claimant's benefits for: | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? | | | | | | |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | | | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: | | | | | | | |
| Claimant | | | | | | | |
| Family Independence Program (FIP) Food Assistance Program (FAP). | . Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). | | | | | | |

| | On January 4, 2013, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's case ☑ calculated aimant's benefits. | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. | On January 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. calculation. | | | | | | |
| 4. | On January 13, 2013 and February 7, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ calculation. | | | | | | |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | | | | | | |
| Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). | | | | | | | |
| The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105. | | | | | | | |
| The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. | | | | | | | |
| Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105; BAM 130. | | | | | | | |
| adinorins cor De det | the present case, the Department issued an Appointment Notice on December 3, 12, indicating that Claimant had an appointment on December 11, 2012. Claimant mitted at the hearing that she probably received the Appointment Notice, but she did t claim that she tried to contact the Department to change the appointment, as structed on the Appointment Notice. The purpose of the appointment was to implete a social summary. Claimant did not attend the scheduled appointment. The spartment then denied Claimant's application due to not completing a disability termination. Under these facts, it is concluded that the Department was correct in nying Claimant's application. | | | | | | |
| | sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ited on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department | | | | | | |
| | properly denied Claimant's application | | | | | | |

Date Signed: May 30, 2013

Date Mailed: May 30, 2013

| for: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☒ SDA ☐ CDC. | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| DECISION AND ORDER | <u>R</u> | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds th ightharpoonup did not act properly. | • | | | | |
| Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. | | | | | |
| | Jusa C. Bruke | | | | |
| _ | Susan C. Burke | | | | |
| | Administrative Law Judge | | | | |
| | for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services | | | | |

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-25131/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

