## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-25002 2006 May 6, 2013 Oakland (03)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levent	er			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 6, 2013, at Walled Lake, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant's Authorized Representative, Medical Networks, Inc. The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Payments Worker Supervisor.				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	esistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
<ol> <li>Claimant</li></ol>				
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☑ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On September 14, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to Claimant's noncompliance with the Department's Office of Child Support.			
3.	On September 14, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.			
4.	On January 2, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.   closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.				
Additionally, the Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255, "Child Support," requires customers to comply with Department requests for child support and paternity information. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (2011), p. 1.				
The Claimant in this case applied in August, 2012. In August, 2012, Claimant was not in compliance with the Office of Child Support requirements. It is therefore found and determined that the Department acted correctly in denying Claimant's August, 2012, application for the reason that she was not in compliance with the Department's Office of Child Support. <i>Id.</i>				
On November 13, 2012, the Department determined that Claimant was in compliance as of that date. Accordingly, pursuant to BEM 255, it is found and determined that Claimant became eligible for MA benefits when she came into compliance on November 13, 2012.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case			

Date Mailed: May 13, 2013

for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.		
DECISION AND ORDER	<u> </u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above F of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds th   ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	•	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
Date Signed: May 13, 2013	Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2013-25002/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
JL/tm

