# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201324841

Issue No.: 2000 Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 28, 2013
County: Wayne DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

#### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 28, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named claimant.

Translator. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included , Specialist.

#### **ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance benefits.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 11/28/12, Claimant applied for MA benefits for herself and her spouse.
- Claimant alleged that she was a disabled individual.
- 3. On 12/19/12, DHS mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying Claimant's MA benefit application.
- 4. On 1/10/13, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the MA benefit denial.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2). In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a denial of MA benefits. DHS conceded that the application denial was improper because DHS failed to evaluate Claimant for MA benefits based on disability. DHS proposed to reinstate Claimant's MA benefit application and to evaluate Claimant's eligibility based on already submitted medical documentation. Claimant accepted the DHS proposal. As the agreement appears to comply with DHS regulations, the settlement among the parties shall be accepted.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing. DHS is ordered to:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's application dated 11/28/12 requesting MA benefits; and
- (2) initiate processing of Claimant's eligibility based on previously submitted documentation.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

( housting Dordock

Date Signed: 3/8/2013

Date Mailed: 3/8/2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

## 201324841/CG

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### CG/hw

