STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:2013-24790Issue No:3008Case No:Hearing Date:Hearing Date:February 20, 2013Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 20, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant includ ed Participants on behalf of D epartment of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's F ood Assistance Program (FAP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. On approximately February 6, 2012, the Claimant applied for FAP benefits. On the applicat ion, the Claimant indicated he receiv ed his mail at
- 2. At some point in time in Marc h of 2012, the Claimant reapplied for FAP benefits. On the applicat ion, the Claimant indicated he received mail at
- 3. Between October of 2012 and J anuary of 2013, t he Department sent several documents to the Claim ants last known address on record. Each of the documents was returned as undeliverable.
- 4. On December 4, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a verification checklist. The Department sent the checklist to the Claimant's last known address on record. The checklist was due by December 14, 2012.

- 5. On December 17, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant's FAP case was being closed for failing to verify information.
- 6. On December 18, 2012, the Depar tment received the check list back as undeliverable.
- 7. On January 15, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms. Client s must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that pot entially affect eligibility or benefit amount. Changes must be reported within 10 days of receiv ing the firs t payment reflecting the change. (BAM 105).

Based on the testimony and exhibits presented, I found The Department witness had a clearer grasp of the dates, times and events in question a nd therefore found her testimony to be slightly more credible than the Claimant. For that reason, I find that more likely than not, the Claimant did not retuen the verifications as requested nor at any time did the Claimant update his address with the Department.

Consequently, I cannot find the Claimant properly complied with the Department's request and as a result I find the Department acted in accordance with the applicable laws and policies in closing the Claimant's FAP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find based upon the above F indings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2013

Date Mailed: February 21, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

