## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN  | J TH |    | BA A | \ <b>T</b> | CCI | 0   | ^ |   |   |
|-----|------|----|------|------------|-----|-----|---|---|---|
| III |      | 16 | IVI  | ۱ı         |     | К ( | u | - | Ī |

|   | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2013-24765<br>3021<br>February 28, 2013<br>Wayne (31) |
|---|--|---|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Benr   | nane   |   |
| HEARING DECIS   | SION   |   |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administ rational MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for telephone hearing was held on February 28, 2013, on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Partic Human Services (Department) included  ISSUE | r a hearing. After<br>, from Detroit, Mic                        | · due notice, a<br>chigan.  Participants              |
| Due to excless assets, did the Department properl   ☐ close Claimant's case for:  | y 🔲 deny the Cla   | aimant's app lication                                 |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  | =  | assistance (AMP)?<br>Assistance (SDA)?                |
| FINDINGS OF FA  | <u>ACT</u>   |   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he converged evidence on the whole record, including the test impact:  | •  | •   |
| 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received be   | nefits for:  |   |

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

2. Due to excess assets, on November 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Food Assistance Program (FAP)

| 3. On October 12, 2012, the Department sent<br>☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.   |
|--|
| <ol> <li>On January 8, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the         ☐ denial of the application.        ☐ closure of the case.</li> </ol>  |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
| Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
| ☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .   |
| ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. |
| ☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.                 |
| ☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.   |
| ☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.   |
| Additionally, the assets that plac ed the Claimant and her FAP gr oup in a c ategory of excess assets came from lotte ry winnings. The Cla imant's son, \$2,604.00 on September 24, 2012.  |

The Department presented a " Bridges" s creen showing the Cla imant's FAP group winning \$5,208.00 but failed to provide docum entation of its verification as cited on

"Bridges" as "Letter or document from person/agency...". This amount, \$5208.00, would have made the Claimant and her FAP group ineligible due to excess assets.

| FAP Asset Limit \$5000. BEM 400, p.4; September 24, 2012.   |
|---|
| At the hearing the claimant offered into evid ence a statement from the Michigan Lottery showing lottery winnings on September 24, 2012 were \$2604.00.   |
| The Department offered no independent evidence.   |
| Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department                |
| ☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case   |
| for:  |
| DECISION AND ORDER  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly. |
| Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.  |
| oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:   |
| <ol> <li>Initiate the reinstatement of Claimant's F AP retroac tively back to Nov ember 1,<br/>2012 and supplement the Claimant's benefits for any lost benefits.</li> </ol>                                |
|   |

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 2, 2013

Date Mailed: April 2, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

