# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201324753

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 28, 2013

County: Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 28, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Electronic Eligibility Specialist.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) application based on Claimant's failure to verify requested information?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 8, 2012, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
- 2. The Department paid Claimant FAP benefits for October 2012.
- 3. On October 11, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) requesting proof of (i) vehicle ownership and value and (ii) shelter expenses by October 22, 2012.
- On January 1, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action closing his FAP case effective November 1, 2012, because he had failed to verify requested information.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180. ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

The Department closed Claimant's FAP case because he failed to verify his vehicle ownership and value. Claimant indicated on his application, and verified on the record, that he owned two vehicles. For FAP purposes, the value of a client's countable assets

cannot exceed \$5000. BEM 400 (October 1, 2012), p 4. There is a \$15,000 limit on countable vehicles owned by the FAP group. BEM 400, p 28. The Department excludes the vehicle with the highest fair market value and any vehicle with a fair market value of \$1500 or less. BEM 400, p 29. If the fair market value of all licensed and unlicensed vehicles that are not excluded exceeds \$15,000, the excess is applied towards the \$5000 asset limit. BEM 400, p 28.

In this case, Claimant verified that he owned two vehicles and admitted that he had not provided the requested information concerning his vehicles. Because the information concerning the value of the vehicles was necessary to determine whether the value of Claimant's assets exceeded the \$5000 FAP asset limit, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case based on Claimant's failure to verify his ownership and the value of his vehicles.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   in did act properly when it denied the FAPapplication.
did not act properly when .
Accordingly, for the reasons stated on the record and above, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/4/2013

Date Mailed: 3/4/2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### ACE/hw

