## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р	)			•
ı	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-247 4003 December 19, 2012 Wayne (55)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J.	Bennane	
HEARING DI	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requentelephone hearing was held on December Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department)	est for a hearing. Afte 19, 2012, from D e claima <u>nt. Particip</u>	r due notice, a etroit, Michigan.
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>	
Did the Departm ent properly ☐ deny Claimai for:	n t's application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical As ☐ State Disability A ☐ Child Developme	
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	he competent, materi al fact:	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ receive	ed benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On October 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to the claimant's failure to provide medical verification.
3.	On September 17, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On September 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
At the hearing the claimant testified that he had problems getting his physician to complete a medical examination report. The cl aimant also testified that he called the Department seeking assistance in resolving his inability to get the exam completed.
The Department testified t hat since the assigned Departm ent representative was not involved in the case at the time the notice of closure was sent out, she was not involved in the case and she had no knowledge of whether the claimant called for assistance or not.
There is no question that the Department fo claimant of the need for a medical exam. However, the Department's inability to respond to the claimant's claim that he called the Department and his explanation as to why he did so is credible.
The client must obtain $r$ equired verification, but yo u must assist if they need and request help.
If neither the client nor you can obt ain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If <b>no</b> evidence is available, use your best judgment. (BAM 130, p. 3; October 1, 2012).
Here, the Department was not able to respond to the claimant's testimony that he attempted to enlist the Department's aid when his doctor refused to compete the task necessary for the claimant to continue to receive his SDA.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\boxtimes$ SDA $\square$ CDC decisior is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate the reinstatement of the claimant's SDA back to the closure date of October 1, 2012, and replace any missed benefits.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 14, 2013

Date Mailed: February 14, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## 2013-247/MJB

## MJB/cl

cc: