## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-24654 2009 May 15, 2013 Wayne (82-19)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Beni	nane			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 15, 2013, from behalf of Claimant included  After repeated unsuccessful Human Services (Department) representative, Department participation.	for a hearing. m Detroit, Michig attempts to loca	After due notice, a gan. Participants on ate a Department of		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial		
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be	nefits for:			
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On August 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to Claimant's failure to verify.
3.	On October 22, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On January 7, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
Te act	e Department states in its hearing summary that the case is with the Medical Review am (MRT), awaiting a decision. The hearing packet also provides a notice of case tion that notifies Claimant that her MA application was denied effective August 1, 12.
	e Department was not represented at the hearing despite multiple efforts to find a partment representative to present the Department's position.
	is Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department's "Hearing Summary" conflicts h the evidence contained in the Department's evidence folder.
sta fail	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department led to carry its burden of proving that Claimant's application had been properly gistered and processed. BAM 110 (May 2012). Therefore, the Department
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Initiate reregistration and process the claimant's August 23, 2012, MA and retroactive MA applications;
- 2. Notify Claimant and Claimant's AR in writing of its decision according to Department policy.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 20, 2013

Date Mailed: June 20, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/pf

